

Horn Clauses and Prolog

Literal: a positive (not negated) or negative (negated) atomic formula. E.g., if P is atomic, both P and $\neg P$ are literals.

Horn clause: a disjunction of literals, at most one of which is positive.

Prolog statements translate into Horn clauses (and vice versa) as follows:

$P :- P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$	
$P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow P$	
$\neg(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n) \vee P$	$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$
$\neg P_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg P_n \vee P$	De Morgan's law
$:- P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$	
$P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow F$	
$\neg(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n) \vee F$	$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$
$\neg(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n)$	$A \vee F \equiv A$
$\neg P_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg P_n$	De Morgan's law

Also,

$$a(X, Y) :- b(X, Z), b(Y, Z)$$

can be interpreted as expressing either

$$\forall X, Y, Z (b(X, Z) \wedge b(Y, Z) \rightarrow a(X, Y))$$

or

$$\forall X, Y (\exists Z (b(X, Z) \wedge b(Y, Z)) \rightarrow a(X, Y))$$

This is based on the fact that, if x does not occur (free) in Q , then

$$\forall x (P \rightarrow Q) \text{ and } \exists x P \rightarrow Q$$

are logically equivalent. This can be verified as follows:

$\forall x (P \rightarrow Q)$	
$\forall x (\neg P \vee Q)$	$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$
$\forall x \neg P \vee Q$	follows because x is not free in Q
$\neg \exists x P \vee Q$	$\forall \neg$ is equivalent to $\neg \exists$
$\exists x P \rightarrow Q$	$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$