Names, Scopes, and Bindings

- Binding time
- Object lifetime
- Object storage management
  - Static allocation
  - Stack allocation
  - Heap allocation
- Scope rules
- Static and dynamic scoping
- Reference environments
- Overloading

Note: These slides cover Chapter 3 of the textbook, except Section 3.6. We encourage you to study Section 3.6 of the textbook, but you are not required to do so. You are not required to study Sections 3.3.3 and 3.3.4.

Names and Abstractions: What’s in a Name?

- Names enable programmers to refer to variables, constants, operations, and types using identifier names rather than low-level hardware addresses.
- Names are also control and data abstractions of complicated program fragments and data structures.
- Control abstraction:
  - Subroutines (procedures and functions) allow programmers to focus on manageable subset of program text.
  - Subroutine interface hides implementation details, e.g., sort(MyArray).
- Data abstraction:
  - Object-oriented classes hide data representation details behind a simple set of operations.
- Abstraction in the context of high-level programming languages refers to the degree or level of language features:
  - Level of machine-independence
  - "Power" of constructs
**Binding Time**

- A *binding* is an association between a name and the thing that is named.
- *Binding time* is the time at which an implementation decision is made to create a binding.
  1. **Language design time**: the design of specific program constructs (syntax), primitive types, and meaning (semantics).
  2. **Language implementation time**: fixation of implementation constants such as numeric precision, run-time memory sizes, max identifier name length, number and types of built-in exceptions, etc.
  3. **Program writing time**: the programmer’s choice of algorithms and data structures.
  4. **Compile time**: the time of translation of high-level constructs to machine code and choice of memory layout for objects.
  5. **Link time**: the time at which multiple object codes (machine code files) and libraries are combined into one executable.
  6. **Load time**: the time at which the operating system loads the executable in memory.
  7. **Run time**: the time during which a program executes (runs).

**Binding Time Examples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language feature</th>
<th>Binding time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syntax, e.g. if (a&gt;0) b:=a; in C or if a&gt;0 then b:=a end if in Ada</td>
<td>Language design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords, e.g. class in C++ and Java</td>
<td>Language design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved words, e.g. main in C and writeln in Pascal</td>
<td>Language design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaning of operators, e.g. + (add)</td>
<td>Language design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primitive types, e.g. float and struct in C</td>
<td>Language design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal representation of literals, e.g. 3.1 and &quot;foo bar&quot;</td>
<td>Language implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The specific type of a variable in a C or Pascal declaration</td>
<td>Compile time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage allocation method for a variable</td>
<td>Language design, language implementation, and/or compile time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linking calls to static library routines, e.g. printf in C</td>
<td>Linker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging multiple object codes into one executable</td>
<td>Linker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading executable in memory and adjusting absolute addresses</td>
<td>Loader (OS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonstatic allocation of space for variable</td>
<td>Run time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Effect of Binding Time

- *Early binding times* (before run time) are associated with greater efficiency
  - Compilers try to fix decisions that can be taken at compile time to avoid generating code that makes a decision at run time
  - Syntax and static semantics checking is performed only once
- *Late binding times* (at run time) are associated with greater flexibility
  - Interpreters allow programs to be extended at run time
  - Languages such as Smalltalk-80 with *polymorphic* types allow variable names to refer to objects of multiple types at run time
  - *Method binding* in object-oriented languages must be late

Object Lifetime

- Key events in object lifetime
  - Object creation
  - Creation of bindings
  - References to variables, subroutines, types are made using bindings
  - Deactivation and reactivation of temporarily unusable bindings
  - Destruction of bindings
  - Destruction of objects
- *Binding lifetime*: time between creation and destruction of binding to object
  - E.g. a Java reference variable is assigned the address of an object
  - E.g. a function’s formal argument is bound to an actual argument (object)
- *Object lifetime*: time between creation and destruction of an object
Object Lifetime Example

- Example C++ fragment:

```cpp
{ SomeClass& myobject = *new SomeClass;
  ...
  { OtherClass& myobject = *new OtherClass;
    ... myobject // is bound to other object
    ...
  }
  ... myobject // is visible again
  ...
  delete myobject;
}
```

Object Storage Management

- An object has to be stored somewhere in memory during its lifetime.
- **Static objects** have an absolute storage address that is retained throughout the execution of the program:
  - Global variables
  - Subroutine code
  - Class method code
- **Stack objects** are allocated in last-in first-out order, usually in conjunction with subroutine calls and returns:
  - Actual arguments of a subroutine
  - Local variables of a subroutine
- **Heap objects** may be allocated and deallocated at arbitrary times, but require an expensive storage management algorithm:
  - E.g. Java class instances are always stored on the heap.
Typical Program and Data Layout in Memory

- Program code is at the bottom of the memory region (code section)
- Static data objects are stored in static region (data section)
- Stack grows downward (data section)
- Heap grows upward (data section)

The code section is protected from run-time modification.

Static Allocation

- Program code is statically allocated in most implementations of imperative languages
- Statically allocated variables are *history sensitive*
  - Global variables
  - *static* local variables in C function retain value even after function returns
- Advantage of statically allocated object is the *fast* access due to absolute addressing of the object
- Static allocation does not work for local variables in potentially recursive subroutines
  - Every (recursive) subroutine call must have separate instantiations of local variables
- Fortran 77 has no recursion
  - Both global and local variables can be statically allocated, decided by compiler
  - Avoids overhead of creation and destruction of local objects for every subroutine call
  - Typical static subroutine data memory layout:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporaries</th>
<th>Local variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(for intermediate values)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bookkeeping (saved processor registers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arguments (in and out)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stack-Based Allocation

- Each instance of a subroutine at run time has a frame on the run-time stack (also called activation record)
  - Compiler generates subroutine calling sequence to setup frame, call the routine, and to destroy the frame afterwards
  - Subroutine prologue and epilogue code operate and maintain the frame
- Frame layouts vary between languages and implementations
- Typical frame layout:
  - A frame pointer (fp) points to the frame of the currently active subroutine at run time (always topmost frame on stack)
  - Subroutine arguments, local variables, and return values are accessed by constant address offsets from fp
  - The stack pointer (sp) points to free space on the stack

Stack-Based Allocation Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local vars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bookkeeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arguments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subroutine A

| Temporaries |
| Local vars |
| Bookkeeping |
| Return address |
| Arguments |

Subroutine B

| Temporaries |
| Local vars |
| Bookkeeping |
| Return address |
| Arguments |

Example C program

```c
main()
{ ...
  A();
  ...
}

A()
{ ...
  B();
  ...
}

B()
{ ...
  A();
  ...
}
```

main calls A, A calls B, B calls A
Example Frame

- The word sizes of the types of local variables and arguments determines the fp offset in a frame

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporaries</th>
<th>Local vars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>var</td>
<td>offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foo</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example Pascal procedure

```pascal
procedure P(a:integer, var b:real)
  (* a is passed by value
   b is passed by reference,
   which is a pointer to b’s value *)
  var
    foo:integer;(* integer: 2 words *)
    bar:real; (* float: 4 words *)
    p:^integer; (* pointer: 2 words *)

  begin
    ...
  end
```

- The compiler determines the slots for the local variables and arguments in a frame
- The fp of the previous active frame is saved in the current frame and restored after the call

Heap-Based Allocation

- Implicit heap allocation:
  - Java class instances are always placed on the heap
  - Scripting languages and functional languages make extensive use of the heap for storing objects
  - Some procedural languages allow array declarations with run-time dependent array size
  - Resizable character strings
- Explicit heap allocation:
  - Statements and/or functions for allocation and deallocation
- Heap allocation is performed by searching heap for available free space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>free (4 words)</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>free (12 words)</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>free (1 word)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>free (12 words)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>free (1 word)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>free (1 word)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Request allocation for object E of 10 words:

  Object E (10 words)

- Deletion of objects leaves free blocks in the heap that can be reused
- Internal heap fragmentation: If allocated object is smaller than the free block the extra space is wasted
- External heap fragmentation: Smaller free blocks cannot always be reused resulting in wasted space
**Heap Allocation Algorithms**

- Maintain a linked list of free heap blocks
- **First-fit:** select the first block that is large enough on the list of free heap blocks
- **Best-fit:** search entire list for the smallest free block that is large enough to hold the object
- If an object is smaller than the block, the extra space can be added to the list of free blocks
- When a block is freed, adjacent free blocks are coalesced
- **Buddy system:** maintain heap pools of standard sized blocks of size $2^k$
  - If no free block is available for object of size between $2^{k-1} + 1$ and $2^k$, then find block of size $2^{k+1}$ and split it in half, adding the halves to the pool of free $2^k$ blocks, etc.
- **Fibonacci heap:** maintain heap pools of standard size blocks according to Fibonacci numbers
  - More complex but leads to slower internal fragmentation

**Garbage Collection**

- Explicit manual deallocation errors are among the most expensive and hard to detect problems in real-world applications
  - If an object is deallocated too soon, a reference to the object becomes a *dangling reference*
  - If an object is never deallocated, the program *leaks memory*
- **Automatic garbage collection** removes all objects from the heap that are not accessible, i.e. are not referenced
  - Used in Lisp, Scheme, Prolog, Ada, Java, Haskell
  - Disadvantage is GC overhead, but GC algorithm efficiency has been improved
  - Not always suitable for real-time programming

**Storage Allocation Mechanisms Compared**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Stack</th>
<th>Heap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Global Variables</td>
<td>Static Local Variables</td>
<td>Dynamic Local Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>global variables (in common blocks), local variables, subroutine arguments, implementation (dependent)</td>
<td>static local variables, e.g. int n; static local variables, e.g. int i(); static local variables, e.g. int i();</td>
<td>local variables and subroutine arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++</td>
<td>global variables; static local members</td>
<td>static local members</td>
<td>local variables and subroutine arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope
- The textual region of a program in which a name-to-object binding is active

Statically scoped language:
- The scope of bindings is determined at compile time
- Used by almost all but a few programming languages
- More intuitive to user compared to dynamic scoping

Dynamically scoped language:
- The scope of bindings is determined at run time
- Used in Lisp (early versions), APL, Snobol, and Perl

Static Versus Dynamic Scoping

The following pseudo-code program demonstrates the effect of scoping on variable bindings.

```plaintext
integer procedure first

a := 1

procedure second

a:
integer

procedure main

a := 2

second()

write_integer(a)

binding with static scoping:
- Program execution (shown below) binds a in first() to global variable a

binding with dynamic scoping:
- Program execution (shown below) binds a in first() to local variable a of second()

Program output = 1
```

![Diagram showing dynamic and static scoping](image)
Static Scoping

- The bindings between names and objects can be determined at compile time by examination of the program text
- Scope rules of a program language define the scope of variables and subroutines, which is the region of program text in which a name-to-object binding is usable
  - Early Basic: all variables are global and visible everywhere
  - Fortran 77: the scope of a local variable is limited to a subroutine; the scope of a global variable is the whole program text unless it is hidden by a local variable declaration with the same variable name
  - Algol 60, Pascal, and Ada: these languages allow nested subroutines definitions and adopt the closest nested scope rule with slight variations in implementation

Closest Nested Scope Rule

- To find the object referenced by a given name, we look for a declaration in the current innermost scope. If there is none, we look for a declaration in the immediately surrounding scope, etc.

```plaintext
procedure P1(A1:T1)
var X:real;
...
procedure P2(A2:T2);
...
procedure P3(A3:T3);
...
begin (* body of P3: P3, A3, P2, A2, X of P1, P1, A1 are visible *)
end
...
begin (* body of P2: P3, P2, A2, X of P1, P1, A1 are visible *)
end;
procedure P4(A4:T4);
...
function F1(A5:T5):T6;
var X:integer;
...
begin (* body of F1: X of F1, F1, A5, P4, A4, P2, P1, A1 are visible *)
end;
...
begin (* body of P4: F1, P4, A4, P2, X of P1, P1, A1 are visible *)
end;
...
begin (* body of P1: X of P1, P1, A1 are visible *)
end
```
Implementation of Static Scope: Static Links

- Scope rules are designed so that we can only refer to variables that are alive: the variable must have been stored in the frame of a subroutine.
- If a variable is not in the local scope, we are sure there is a frame for the surrounding scope somewhere below on the stack:
  - The current subroutine can only be called when it was visible
  - The current subroutine is visible only when the surrounding scope is active
- Each frame on the stack contains a static link pointing to the frame of the static parent.
- Example: subroutines C and D are nested in B (B is static parent of C and D), B in A, and E in A.

Boundings to Non-Local Objects: Static Chains

- The static links form a linked list of static parent frames.
- When a subroutine at nesting level $j$ has a reference to a local object of a surrounding scope nested at level $k$, $k-j$ static links forms a static chain that is traversed to get to the frame containing the object.
  - Example: subroutine A is at nesting level 1 and C at nesting level 3. When C accesses a local object of A, 2 static links are traversed to get to A’s frame.
- Non-local objects can be hidden by local name-to-object bindings and the scope is said to have a hole in which the non-local binding is temporarily inactive but not destroyed:
  ```
  procedure P1;
  var X: real;
  procedure P2;
  var X: integer
  begin ...
  (* X of P1 is hidden *)
  end;
  begin ...
  end
  ```
  - When P2 is called, no extra code needs to be executed to inactivate the binding of X to P1.
- Some languages (e.g. Ada and C++) have qualifiers or scope resolution operators to access non-local objects that are hidden, e.g. P1.X in Ada to access variable X of P1 and :X to access global variable X in C++.
Blocks and Local Variable Scope

- In Algol, C, and Ada local variables can be declared in a block or compound statement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Ada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| `{ int t = a;  
  a = b;  
  b = t;  
} | declare t:integer  
begin  
t := a;  
a := b;  
b := t; 
end; |

- In C++ and Java, declarations may appear where statements may appear and the scope extends to the end of the block:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C++ and Java</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| `{ int a,b;  
  ...  
  int t;  
  t=a;  
  a=b;  
  b=t;  
  ...  
} |

- The local objects are stored in the part of a subroutine frame reserved for temporaries.

Modules and Object Scope

- Modules are the most important feature of a programming language that supports the construction of large applications:
  - Teams of programmers can work on separate module files in a large project.
  - Modules encapsulate variables, data types, and subroutines such that:
    - Objects inside are visible to each other.
    - Objects inside are not visible outside unless exported.
    - Objects outside are not visible inside unless imported.
  - A module interface specifies exported variables, data types, and subroutines.
  - The module implementation is compiled separately and implementation details are hidden from the user of the module.
- No language support for modules in C and Pascal.
- Modula-2 modules, Ada packages, C++ namespaces.
- Java class source files and libraries can be viewed as modules.

Note: The textbook provides a discussion on modules (pp. 122-129) which is neither brief nor comprehensive enough. You are not required to study modules described in this part of the textbook.
Dynamic Scope

- Scope rule: the "current" binding for a given name is the one encountered most recently during execution
- Typically adopted in (early) functional languages that are interpreted
- Perl v5 allows you to choose scope method for each variable separately
- With dynamic scope:
  - Name-to-object bindings cannot be determined by a compiler in general
  - Easy for interpreter to look up name-to-object binding in a stack of declarations
- Generally considered to be "a bad programming language feature"
  - Hard to keep track of active bindings when reading a program text
  - Most languages are now compiled, or a compiler/interpreter mix
- Sometimes useful:
  - Unix environment variables have dynamic scope

Dynamic Scope Problem

- In the following example program, function scaled_score probably does not do what the programmer intended: with dynamic scoping, max_score in scaled_score is bound to foo's local variable max_score after foo calls scaled_score, which was the most recent binding during execution

```plaintext
max_score: integer
function scaled_score(raw_score: integer): real
    return raw_score/max_score*100
...
procedure foo
    max_score: real := 0
    ...
    foreach student in class
        student.percent := scaled_score(student.points)
    if student.percent > max_score
        max_score := student.percent
```

- Also: a compiler cannot always determine the type of a non-local variable in a subroutine and run-time type checking is required
Implementation of Dynamic Scope: Binding Stack

- Each time a subroutine is called, its local variables are pushed on a stack with their name-to-object binding
- When a reference to a variable is made, the stack is searched top-down for the variable’s name-to-object binding
- After the subroutine returns, the bindings of the local variables are popped
- Different implementations of a binding stack are used in programming languages with dynamic scope, each with advantages and disadvantages

Note: Textbook Sections 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 deal with the binding stack implementation issues. You are not required to study these sections

Referencing Environments

- If a subroutine is passed as an argument to another subroutine, when are the static/dynamic scoping rules applied?
  - When the reference to the subroutine is first created (i.e. when it is passed as an argument)
  - Or when the argument subroutine is finally called
- That is, what is the referencing environment of a subroutine passed as an argument?
  - Eventually the subroutine passed as an argument is called and may access non-local variables which by definition are in the referencing environment of usable bindings
- The choice is fundamental in languages with dynamic scope
- The choice is limited in languages with static scope

Deep and Shallow Binding in Dynamically Scoped Languages

- The following program demonstrates the difference between deep and shallow binding:

```plaintext
thres: integer
function older(p:person): boolean
    return p.age>thres
procedure show(p:person, c: function)
    thres: integer
    thres:=20
    if c(p)
        write(p)
procedure main(p)
```
thres:=35
show(p, older)

| Deep binding: reference environment of older is established with the first reference to older, which is when it is passed as an argument to show |
| Shallow binding: reference environment of older is established with the call to older in show |

main(p)
  thres:=35
  show(p, older)
  thres:=20
  older(p)
  return p.age>thres
  if <return value is true>
  write(p)

Program prints person p if older than 35

main(p)
  thres:=35
  show(p, older)
  thres:=20
  older(p)
  return p.age>thres
  if <return value is true>
  write(p)

Program prints person if older than 20

### Implementation of Deep Bindings: Subroutine Closures

- The referencing environment is bundled with the subroutine as a *closure* and passed as an argument
- A subroutine closure contains
  - A pointer to the subroutine code
  - The current set of name-to-object bindings
- Depending on the implementation, the whole current set of bindings may have to be copied or the head of a list is copied if linked lists are used to implement a stack of bindings
Deep and Shallow Binding in Statically Scoped Languages

- Shallow binding has never been implemented in any statically scoped language
  - Deep binding in a statically scoped languages is obvious choice
  - Shallow bindings require more work by a compiler and at runtime
- For example, in the program below, static scoping binds \texttt{thres} in \texttt{older} to the globally declared \texttt{thres}, so shallow binding does not make sense

```plaintext
thres: integer
function older(p: person): boolean
    return p.age > thres
procedure show(p: person, c: function)
    thres: integer
    thres := 20
    if c(p)
        write(p)
procedure main(p)
    thres := 35
    show(p, older)
```

First-, Second-, and Third-Class Subroutines

- \textit{First-class object}: an object that can be passed as a parameter, returned from a subroutine, and assigned to a variable
  - E.g. primitive types such as integers in most programming languages
- \textit{Second-class object}: an object that can be passed as a parameter, but not returned from a subroutine or assigned to a variable
  - E.g. arrays in C/C++
- \textit{Third-class object}: an object that cannot be passed as a parameter, cannot be returned from a subroutine, and cannot be assigned to a variable
  - E.g. labels of \texttt{goto}-statements and subroutines in Ada 83
- With certain restrictions, subroutines are first-class objects in Modula-2 and 3, Ada 95, C, and C++
- Functions in Lisp, ML, and Haskell are unrestricted first-class objects
First-Class Subroutines

- Problem: subroutine returned as object may lose part of its reference environment in its closure
- Consider for example the pseudo-code program below:

```
function new_int_printer(port : integer) : procedure
    procedure print_int(val : int)
    begin
        write(port, val)
    end

begin
    return print_int
end

procedure main
begin
    myprint := new_int_printer(80)
    myprint(7)
end
```

- Procedure `print_int` uses argument `port` of `new_int_printer`, which is in the referencing environment of `print_int`
- After the call to `new_int_printer`, argument `port` should be kept alive somehow (it is normally removed from the run-time stack and it will become a dangling reference)

First-Class Subroutines (cont’d)

- In functional languages, local objects have unlimited extent: their lifetime continue indefinitely
  - Local objects are allocated on the heap
  - Garbage collection will eventually remove unused local objects
- In imperative languages, local objects have limited extent: stack allocation
- To avoid the problem of dangling references, alternative mechanisms are used:
  - C, C++, and Java: no nested subroutine scopes
  - Modula-2: only outermost routines are first-class
  - Ada 95 "containment rule": can return an inner subroutine under certain conditions

Overloading and Bindings

- A name that can refer to more than one object is said to be overloaded
  - E.g. `+` (addition) is used for integer and and floating-point addition in most programming languages
- Semantic rules of a programming language require that the context of an overloaded name should contain sufficient clues to deduce intended binding
- Semantic analyzer of compiler uses type checking to resolve bindings
- Ada, C++, and Java subroutine overloading enables program
to define alternative implementations depending on argument types, for example in C++:

```c
struct complex {...};
enum base {dec, bin, oct, hex};
void print_num(int n) ...
void print_num(int n, base b) ...
void print_num(struct complex c) ...
```

- Ada, C++, and Fortran 90 allow built-in operators to be overloaded with user-defined functions

---

**Polymorphic Subroutines and Templates**

- Lisp, ML, Haskell, and Smalltalk allow programmers to write subroutines with *polymorphic* parameters: objects of more than one type
- For example, the Haskell `length` function that returns the length of a list has a polymorphic parameter which is a list of elements of any type (`x:xs` is a list with head `x` and tail `xs`):

  ```haskell
  length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs
  length []     = 0
  ```

- C++ templates accomplish a similar feature for classes, but a concrete implementation is created by compiling the template for each type

  ```cpp
template<class T> class list
  {  T* p;
      int size;
  public:
      int length() { return size; };
  };
  ```