# Lecture 4 Nondeterministic Finite Accepters

#### COT 4420 Theory of Computation

Section 2.2, 2.3



## Nondeterminism

• A nondeterministic finite automaton can go to several states at once.

• Transitions from one state on an input symbol can be to a SET of states.

## Nondeterministic Finite Accepter

- The main difference with DFA is that
- From one state with an input symbol there might be more than one choice in the transition function.

 From a state there might be no transition with an input symbol (The transition function is not total). In that case the automaton halts.







## **Second Choice**





# Accepting a String

• An NFA accepts a string

when there is a computation of the NFA that accepts the string

All the input is consumed and the automaton is in a final state

#### 11 is accepted by the NFA:



## **Rejection example**



## Rejection example First choice



Rejection example Second choice



## An NFA rejects a string:

If there is no computation of the NFA that accepts the string.

Either:

All the input is consumed and NFA is in a non accepting state

OR

• The input cannot be consumed



#### All possible computations lead to rejection

Costas Busch - LSU

#### Nondeterministic Finite Accepter (NFA)

 We have one start state. Starting from start state, an input is accepted if any sequence of choices leads to some final state. 1010 ?

110?



#### Nondeterministic Finite Accepter (NFA)

• A nondeterministic finite accepter is defined by 5-tuple

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

where Q,  $\Sigma$ , q<sub>0</sub>, and F are defined as DFA, but

$$\delta: \mathbb{Q} \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

## **Extended Transition Function**

 $\delta^*$  is defined recursively by:

$$\delta^*(q, \lambda) = \{q\}$$
  
Let S be  $\delta^*(q, w)$  then:  
$$\delta^*(q, wa) = \bigcup_{p \in S} \delta(p, a)$$

## Language of an NFA

• The language of an nfa M is defined as the set of all strings accepted by M.

 $\mathsf{L}(\mathsf{M}) = \{ \mathsf{w} \in \Sigma^* : \delta^*(\mathsf{q}_0, \mathsf{w}) \cap \mathsf{F} \neq \emptyset \}$ 





 It is easier to express languages with NFAs than with DFAs



$$L(M_1) = L(M_2) = \{0\}$$

## NFA's and DFA's

- Is NFA more powerful than DFA?
- We can show that the classes of DFA's and NFA's are equally powerful.

What does equivalence mean?

 Two finite accepters M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> are said to be equivalent if they both accept the same language,

$$L(M_1) = L(M_2)$$

## Equivalence of NFA's and DFA's

The set of languages — The set of languages accepted by NFAs — accepted by DFAs OR Regular languages

- Step1) The set of languages accepted by DFAs is a subset of the set of languages accepted by NFAs.
- This is trivially true since every DFA is an NFA.

## Equivalence of NFA's and DFA's

Step2) The set of languages accepted by NFAs is a subset of the set of languages accepted by DFAs.

For any NFA there is a DFA that accepts the same language.

## Equivalence of DFA's and NFA's

- After an NFA reads a string w, we know that it must be in one state of a possible set of states, e.g. {q<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>, ..., q<sub>k</sub>}
- In the equivalent DFA after reading w we will be in a state labeled {q<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>j</sub>, ..., q<sub>k</sub>}
  - The name of the states in our DFA will be sets of states!



## Equivalence of DFA's and NFA's

 If our NFA has |Q| states, the equivalent DFA will have 2<sup>|Q|</sup> states.

**Theorem:** Let L be the language accepted by NFA  $M_N = (Q_N, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F_N)$ . Then there exists a DFA  $M_D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, \{q_0\}, F_D)$  such that  $L = L(M_D)$ .

## NFA to DFA

- 1. Our NFA has a start symbol  $q_0$ . The start state of DFA will be  $\{q_0\}$
- 2. Repeat these steps until no more edges are missing:
  - For every DFA state {q<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>j</sub>, ... q<sub>k</sub>} that has no outgoing edge for some  $a \in \Sigma$
  - $\delta_{\mathsf{N}}(\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{i}}, a) \cup \delta_{\mathsf{N}}(\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{j}}, a) \dots \cup \delta_{\mathsf{N}}(\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{k}}, a) = \{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{l}}, \dots \, \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{n}}\}$
  - Create a vertex labeled  $\{q_1, ..., q_n\}$  if it does not exist
  - Add an edge from  $\{q_i, q_j, ..., q_k\}$  to  $\{q_i, ..., q_n\}$  with label a

## NFA to DFA

3. Every state of DFA whose label contains a final state from NFA is identified as a final state.

#### NFA to DFA Example



NFA:















## **Proof of Equivalence**

Theorem: Let  $M_N$  be an NFA and  $M_D$  be an equivalent DFA obtained by the procedure. Then  $L(M_N) = L(M_D)$ 

We need to show that if  $w \in L(M_N)$ 

$$w \in L(M_D)$$

## **Proof of Equivalence by Induction**

• Show by induction on |w| that  $\delta_N(q_0, w) = \delta_D(\{q_0\}, w)$ 

Basis: 
$$|w|=0 \Rightarrow w = \lambda$$
  
 $\delta_N(q_0, \lambda) = \delta_D(\{q_0\}, \lambda) = \{q_0\}$ 

## **Proof of Equivalence by Induction**

- Inductive step: Assume it is true for strings shorter than w. let w = va. So the induction hypothesis is true for v (v is shorter than w).
- Let  $\delta_N(q_0, v) = \delta_D(\{q_0\}, v) = S$ .
- The extended rule for NFA:
- $\delta_{N}(q_{0}, w) = \delta_{N}(q_{0}, va) = T = the union over all states p in S of \delta_{N}(p, a)$
- By the procedure we discussed we also know that  $\delta_D(\{q_0\}, va)$  is the same set T.
- Therefore  $\delta_N(q_0, w) = \delta_D(\{q_0\}, w) = T$ .



- We can allow state to state transitions on ε input.
- It does not consume the input string.
- Is  $\epsilon$ -NFA more powerful than NFA ?



#### $0\,1\,0\,1$



#### **0**101



#### **01**01



#### **010**1



#### 0101





- The ε-closure of a state q of the NFA will be denoted by E(q).
- E(q) is the set of states that can be reached from q following ε-moves, including q itself.
- The ε-closure of a set of states R = union of the ε-closure of each state.

 $E(R) = \{ q \mid q \text{ can be reached from } R \text{ by traveling}$ along zero or more  $\varepsilon$  transitions $\}$ 

#### ε-closure

E(R) = {q | q can be reached from R by traveling along zero or more ε transitions}

 $E(q_0) = \{q_0\}$  $E(q_4) = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\}$ q1 **q**3 q2 q 0 0 q4 q5

## **Extended Transition Function**

Is intended to tell us where we can get from a given state following a path labeled by a certain string w.

```
δ is defined by:

δ(q, λ) = E(q)

Let S be δ(q, w) then:

\hat{\delta}(q, wa) = \bigcup_{p \in S} E(\delta(p, a))
```

## Example

$$\hat{\delta}(q_0, \lambda) = E(q_0) = \{q_0\}$$

$$\hat{\delta}(q_0, 0) = E(\delta(q_0, 0)) = E(\{q_4\}) = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\}$$

$$\hat{\delta}(q_0, 01) = E(\{q_2, q_3\}) = \{q_2, q_3\}$$



## Equivalence of NFA and ε-NFA

Every NFA is an ε-NFA, it just does not have a ε transition.

• Theorem: If a language L is accepted by an  $\epsilon$ -NFA M<sub>E</sub> then L is accepted by an NFA M without  $\epsilon$  moves.

## ε-NFA to NFA

• Given  $M_E = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_E, q_0, F)$  construct  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta', q_0, F')$ 

Where F' = the set of states q such that E(q) contains a state of F.

and compute  $\delta'(q, a)$  as follows:

1. Let S = E(q)  
2. 
$$\delta'(q,a) = \bigcup_{p \in S} \delta_E(p,a)$$

\*Note that  $\delta_{E}(p,a)$  in ε-NFA is actually  $E(\delta(p,a))$ 



$$\begin{aligned} \delta'(q_0, 0) &= S = E(q_0) = \{q_0\} \\ \delta'(q_0, 0) &= \delta_E(q_0, 0) = E(\delta(q_0, 0)) = E(q_4) = \{q_4, q_1, q_2, q_3\} \\ \delta'(q_0, 1) &= \delta_E(q_0, 1) = E(\delta(q_0, 1)) = E(q_1) = \{q_1, q_3\} \end{aligned}$$

		E()		Σ				E()
$q_0$	:	{q <sub>0</sub> }	,	0	→	$\{q_4\}$	:	${q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4}$
$q_0$	:	{q <sub>0</sub> }	,	1	→	$\{q_1\}$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }
$q_1$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
$q_1$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }	,	1	→	$\{q_2\}$	:	${q_2}$
q <sub>2</sub>	:	{q <sub>2</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
q <sub>2</sub>	:	{q <sub>2</sub> }	,	1	→	{q <sub>3</sub> }	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }
<b>q</b> <sub>3</sub>	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
<b>q</b> <sub>3</sub>	•	{q <sub>3</sub> }	,	1	→	Ø	:	Ø
$q_4$	:	${q_4, q_1, q_2, q_3}$	,	0	→	$\{q_5\}$	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }
$q_4$	•	${q_4, q_1, q_2, q_3}$	,	1	→	${q_2, q_3}$	:	${q_2, q_3}$
$q_5$	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }	,	0	→	{q <sub>3</sub> }	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }
$q_5$	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }	,	1	→	Ø	•	Ø

			E()		Σ				E()
(	q <sub>0</sub>	:	{q <sub>0</sub> }	,	0	→	$\{q_4\}$	:	${q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4}$
	$q_0$	:	{q <sub>0</sub> }	,	1	→	$\{q_1\}$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }
	$q_1$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
*	$q_1$	:	{q <sub>1</sub> ,q <sub>3</sub> }	,	1	→	$\{q_2\}$	:	{q <sub>2</sub> }
(	q <sub>2</sub>	:	{q <sub>2</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
(	q <sub>2</sub>	:	{q <sub>2</sub> }	,	1	→	{q <sub>3</sub> }	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }
*	$q_3$	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }	,	0	→	Ø	:	Ø
(	$q_3$	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }	,	1	→	Ø	:	Ø
*	$q_4$	:	{q <sub>4</sub> , q <sub>1</sub> , q <sub>2</sub> , q <sub>3</sub> }	,	0	→	{q <sub>5</sub> }	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }
(	$q_4$	:	{q <sub>4</sub> , q <sub>1</sub> , q <sub>2</sub> , q <sub>3</sub> }	,	1	→	${q_2, q_3}$	:	${q_2, q_3}$
(	$q_5$	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }	,	0	→	{q <sub>3</sub> }	:	{q <sub>3</sub> }
	$q_5$	:	{q <sub>5</sub> }	,	1	→	Ø	:	Ø



NFA without ε moves

## Summary

- DFA's, NFA's, and ∈-NFA's all accept exactly the same set of languages: the regular languages.
- The NFA types are easier to design and may have exponentially fewer states than a DFA.
- DFA's are much easier to implement on a computer.