# COT 5310: Theory of Automata and Formal Languages 

## Lecture 1



Florida State University
Department of Computer Science

## This course aims to cover ...

- the development of computability theory using an extremely simple abstract programming language,
- the various different formulations of computability and their equivalence


## By the end of this course, you should be able to ...

- appreciate the existence of universal digital computers,
- understand there are well-defined functions that cannot be computed even by the universal computers,
- know that certain problems are truly harder than others,
- use various formalized computation models to solve your problems, and
- show that some problems are just too difficult for the models at hand.


## Textbook

Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker. Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science, 2nd edition. February 1994, Morgan Kaufmann. ISBN: 0122063821.

- Written for people who may know programming, but from a mathematical view of the subjects. Enjoyably readable but very rigorous.
- "It is our purpose ... to provide an introduction to the various aspects of theoretical computer science for undergraduate and graduate students that is sufficiently comprehensive that ... research papers will become accessible to our readers." (the authors)
- We will cover just one half of the materials in the book.


## Outline of Lecture

- Review some preliminary materials.
- Define an abstract programming language $\mathscr{S}$ that is extremely simple.
- Write some programs in $\mathscr{S}$.


## Cartesian Product

- If $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n}$ are given sets, then we write $S_{1} \times S_{2}, \times \cdots \times S_{n}$ for the set of all $n$-tuples $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ such that $a_{1} \in S_{1}, a_{2} \in S_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in S_{n}$.
- $S_{1} \times S_{2}, \times \cdots \times S_{n}$ is called the Cartesian product of $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n}$.
- In case $S_{1}=S_{2}=\cdots=S_{n}=S$ we write $S^{n}$ for the Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2}, \times \cdots \times S_{n}$.


## Functions

- A function $f$ is a set whose members are ordered pairs (i.e., 2-tuples) and has the special property

$$
(a, b) \in f \text { and }(a, c) \in f \quad \text { implies } \quad b=c .
$$

We write $f(a)=b$ to mean that $(a, b) \in f$.

- The set of all a such that $(a, b) \in f$ for some $b$ is called the domain of $f$. The set of all $f(a)$ for $a$ in the domain of $f$ is called the range of $f$.
- A partial function on a set $S$ is a function whose domain is a subset of $S$. If a partial function on $S$ has the domain $S$, then it is called a total function.
- We write $f(a) \downarrow$ and say that $f(a)$ is defined if $a$ is in the domain of $f$; if $a$ is not in the domain of $f$, we write $f(a) \uparrow$ and say that $f(a)$ is undefined.


## Examples of Functions

- Let $f$ be the set of ordered pairs $\left(n, n^{2}\right)$ for $n \in N$. Then, for each $n \in N, f(n)=n^{2}$. The domain of $f$ is $N$. The range of $f$ is the set of perfect squares. $f$ is a total function.
- Assuming $N$ is our universe, an example of a partial function on $N$ is given by $g(n)=\sqrt{n}$. The domain of $g$ is the set of perfect squares. The range of $g$ is $N . g$ is not a total function.
- For a partial function $f$ on a Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2}, \times \cdots \times S_{n}$, we write $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ rather than $f\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)$.
- A partial function $f$ on a set $S^{n}$ is called an $n$-ary partial function on $S$, or a function of $n$ variables on $S$. We use unary and binary for 1-ary and 2-ary, respectively.


## Predicate

A predicate, or a Boolean-valued function, on a set $S$ is a total function $P$ on $S$ such that for each $a \in S$, either

$$
P(a)=\text { TRUE } \text { or } P(a)=\text { FALSE }
$$

We also identify the truth value TRUE with number 1 and the truth value FALSE with number 0 .

## Logic Connectives

The three logic connectives, or propositional connectives, $\sim, \vee, \&$ are defined by the two tables below.

| $p$ | $\sim p$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 |


| $p$ | $q$ | $p \& q$ | $p \vee q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Characteristic Function

Given a predicate $P$ on a set $S$, there is a corresponding subset $R$ of $S$ consisting of all elements $a \in S$ for which $P(a)=1$. We write

$$
R=\{a \in S \mid P(a)\}
$$

Conversely, given a subset $R$ of a given set $S$, the expression $x \in R$ defines a predicate $P$ on S :

$$
P(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \in R \\ 0 & \text { if } x \notin R\end{cases}
$$

The predicate $P$ is called the characteristic function of the set $R$. Note the easy translations between the two notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{x \in S \mid P(x) \& Q(x)\} & =\{x \in S \mid P(x)\} \cap\{x \in S \mid Q(x)\} \\
\{x \in S \mid P(x) \vee Q(x)\} & =\{x \in S \mid P(x)\} \cup\{x \in S \mid Q(x)\} \\
\{x \in S \mid \sim P(x)\} & =S-\{x \in S \mid P(x)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bounded Existential Quantifier

Let $P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a $(n+1)$-ary predicate. Let predicate $Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =P\left(0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \vee P\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \vee \ldots \\
& \vee P\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is true if there is a value $t \leq y$ such that $P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is true. We write this predicate $Q$ as

$$
(\exists t)_{\leq y} P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

" $(\exists t)_{\leq y}$ " is called a bounded existential quantifier.

## Bounded Universal Quantifier

Let $P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a $(n+1)$-ary predicate. Let predicate $Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =P\left(0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \& P\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \& \ldots \\
& \& P\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $Q\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is true if for all value $t \leq y$ such that $P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is true. We write this predicate $Q$ as

$$
(\forall t)_{\leq y} P\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

" $(\forall t)_{\leq y}$ " is called a bounded universal quantifier.

## Proof by Contradiction

In a proof by contradiction, we begin by assuming the assertion we wish to prove is false. We then derive a contradiction based on this (faulty) assumption along with (faultless) logical reasoning. We then conclude that the original assertion must be true.

## Proof by Contradiction: Example

Prove that the equation $2=(m / n)^{2}$ has no solution $m, n \in N$.
Proof. Assume $2=(m / n)^{2}$ has a solution $m, n \in N$. Then it must also have a solution where not both $m$ and $n$ are even. This is so because we can repeatedly "cancel" 2 from $m$ and $n$ until at least one of them becomes odd, and still have the two "reduced" numbers as a solution.

However, the equation $2=(m / n)^{2}$ can be rewritten as $m^{2}=2 n^{2}$ which shows that $m$ must be even. Let $m=2 k$, then $m^{2}=(2 k)^{2}=4 k^{2}$. But this implies $n^{2}=2 k^{2}$. Thus $n$ is even. Now both $m$ and $n$ are even, which is a contradiction.

We conclude that $2=(m / n)^{2}$ has no solution $m, n \in N$.

## Mathematical Induction

Given a predicate $P(x)$, and the assertion " $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in N$ ", we can use mathematical induction to try to establish this assertion. One proceeds by proving a pair of auxiliary statements about $P(x)$, namely,
$P(0)$
For all $n \in N, P(n)$ implies $P(n+1)$

In the second statement above, $P(n)$ is called an induction hypothesis. If both statements above are proved to be true, one then concludes that

$$
\text { For all } n \in N, P(n)
$$

## Mathematical Induction: Example

Prove that for all $n \in N, \sum_{i=0}^{n}(2 i+1)=(n+1)^{2}$.
Proof. For $n=0$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{0}(2 i+1)=1=(0+1)^{2}$, which is true. It remains to show that for all $n \in N$, if $\sum_{i=0}^{n}(2 i+1)=(n+1)^{2}$ is true, then $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(2 i+1)=(n+2)^{2}$ is also true.
We expand $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(2 i+1)$ by its definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(2 i+1) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(2 i+1)+2(n+1)+1 \\
& =(n+1)^{2}+2(n+1)+1 \quad \text { (by induction hypothesis) } \\
& =(n+2)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that for all $n \in N, \sum_{i=0}^{n}(2 i+1)=(n+1)^{2}$.

## The Programming Language $\mathscr{S}$

- Values: natural numbers only, but of unlimited precision.
- Variables:
- Input variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots$
- An output variable $Y$
- Local variables $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}, \ldots$
- Instructions:
$V \leftarrow V+1$ Increase by 1 the value of the variable $V$.
$V \leftarrow V-1$ If the value of $V$ is 0 , leave it unchanged; otherwise decrease by 1 the value of $V$.
IF $V \neq 0$ GOTO $L$ If the value of $V$ is nonzero, perform the instruction with label $L$ next; otherwise proceed to the next instruction in the list.
- Labels: $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}, D_{1}, E_{1}, A_{2}, B_{2}, C_{2}, D_{2}, E_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$
- Exit label: $E$.
- All variables and labels are in the global scope.


## Programming in $\mathscr{S}$

- A program is a list (i.e., a finite sequence) of instructions.
- The output variable $Y$ and the local variables $Z_{i}$ initially have the value 0 .
- A program halts when there is no more instruction to execute.
- A program also halts if an instruction labeled $L$ is to be executed, but there is no instruction with that label.
- What does this program do?
[A] $\quad X \leftarrow X-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $A$


## A Bug?

- What does this program do?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[A] \quad} & X \leftarrow X-1 \\
& Y \leftarrow Y+1 \\
& \text { IF } X \neq 0 \text { GOTO } A
\end{array}\right.
$$

- The above program computes the function

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x=0 \\ x & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## A Program That Computes $f(x)=x$

[A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $B$
$Z \leftarrow Z+1$
IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO $E$
[B] $\quad X \leftarrow X-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
$Z \leftarrow Z+1$
IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO A

- What does $Z$ actually do?
- What does the following do?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z \leftarrow Z+1 \\
& \text { IF } Z \neq 0 \text { GOTO } L
\end{aligned}
$$

- That is an unconditional goto! GOTO L


## A Macro for Unconditional GOTO

- Before macro expansion:

| $[A]$ | IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $B$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | GOTO $E$ |
| $[B]$ | $X \leftarrow X-1$ |
|  | $Y \leftarrow Y+1$ |
|  | GOTO $A$ |

- After macro expansion:
[A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $B$
$Z_{1} \leftarrow Z_{1}+1$
IF $Z_{1} \neq 0$ GOTO $E$
[B] $\quad X \leftarrow X-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
$Z_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}+1$
IF $Z_{2} \neq 0$ GOTO $A$
- Fresh local variables are always used during macro expansions. 22/33


## Copy The Value of Variable $X$ to Variable $Y$

- [A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $B$

GOTO E
[B] $\quad X \leftarrow X-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
GOTO A

- Anything wrong?
- The value of $X$ is "destroyed" while copied to $Y$ !


## Copy The Value of Variable $X$ to Variable $Y$, Continued

- [A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO $B$ GOTO C
[B] $\quad X \leftarrow X-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
$Z \leftarrow Z+1$
GOTO A
[C] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO $D$
GOTO $E$
[D] $\quad Z \leftarrow Z-1$
$X \leftarrow X+1$
GOTO C
- Anything wrong?
- This program is correct only when $Y$ and $Z$ are initialized to the value 0 . It cannot be used as a macro.

A Macro for $V \leftarrow V^{\prime}$
$V \leftarrow 0$
[A] IF $V^{\prime} \neq 0$ GOTO $B$
GOTO C
[B] $\quad V^{\prime} \leftarrow V^{\prime}-1$
$V \leftarrow V+1$
$Z \leftarrow Z+1$
GOTO A
[C] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO $D$ GOTO E
[D] $\quad Z \leftarrow Z-1$
$V^{\prime} \leftarrow V^{\prime}+1$
GOTO C

- Anything wrong?
- $V \leftarrow 0$ is not an instruction in $\mathscr{S}$.


## A Macro for $V \leftarrow 0$

[L] $\quad V \leftarrow V-1$
IF $V \neq 0$ GOTO $L$

## A Program That Computes $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& Y \leftarrow X_{1} \\
& Z \leftarrow X_{2} \\
{[B]} & \text { IF } Z \neq 0 \text { GOTO } A \\
& \text { GOTO } E \\
{[A]} & Z \leftarrow Z-1 \\
& Y \leftarrow Y+1 \\
& \text { GOTO } B
\end{array}
$$

Note that $Z$ is used to preserve the value of $X_{2}$ so that it will not be destroyed during the computation.

## A Program That Computes $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& Z_{2} \leftarrow X_{2} \\
{[B]} & \text { IF } Z_{2} \neq 0 \text { GOTO } A \\
& \text { GOTO } E \\
{[A]} & Z_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}-1 \\
& Z_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}+Y \\
& Y \leftarrow Z_{1} \\
& \text { GOTO } B
\end{array}
$$

- OK!


## A Shorter Program That Computes $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$ ?

- $\quad Z_{2} \leftarrow X_{2}$
[B] IF $Z_{2} \neq 0$ GOTO $A$ GOTO $E$
[A] $\quad Z_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}-1$
$Y \leftarrow X_{1}+Y$
GOTO $B$
- NO GOOD!
- Why?
- The macro for $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y \leftarrow X_{1} \\
& Z \leftarrow X_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

[B] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO $A$ GOTO E
[A] $\quad Z \leftarrow Z-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
GOTO B

- Macro expanding $Y \leftarrow X_{1}+Y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y \leftarrow X_{1} \\
& Z \leftarrow Y
\end{aligned}
$$

[B] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO $A$ GOTO $E$
[A] $\quad Z \leftarrow Z-1$
$Y \leftarrow Y+1$
GOTO B

- The above actually computes $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 \cdot x_{1}$


## A Program That Computes $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$, Revisited

- Need to macro expand $Z_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}+Y$.
- After macro expansion:

$$
Z_{2} \leftarrow X_{2}
$$

[B] IF $Z_{2} \neq 0$ GOTO $A$
GOTO $E$
[A] $\quad Z_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}-1$
$Z_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}$
$Z_{3} \leftarrow Y$
[ $B_{2}$ ] IF $Z_{3} \neq 0$ GOTO $A_{2}$
GOTO $E_{2}$
$\left[A_{2}\right] \quad Z_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}-1$
$Z_{1} \leftarrow Z_{1}+1$
GOTO $B_{2}$
$\left[E_{2}\right] \quad Y \leftarrow Z_{1}$
GOTO $B$

## Note on The Macro Expansion

- The output variable $Y$ in the macro $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}$ is now fresh variable $Z_{1}$ in the expanded form.
- The local variable $Z$ in the macro $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}$ is now fresh variable $Z_{3}$ in the expanded form (as variables $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are already used).
- Fresh labels $A_{2}, B_{2}$, and $E_{2}$ are used in the expanded form (as the original labels $A, B$, and $E$ are already used).
- The instruction GOTO $E_{2}$ only terminates the addition. The computation must continue to place following the addition. Hence, the instruction immediately following the addition is labeled $E_{2}$.
- Unlimited supply of fresh local variables and local labels!
- More about macro expansion next week.


## A Final Example

- What does this program compute?

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& Y \leftarrow X_{1} \\
& Z \leftarrow X_{2} \\
{[C]} & \text { IF } Z \neq 0 \text { GOTO } A \\
& \text { GOTO } E \\
{[A]} & \text { IF } Y \neq 0 \text { GOTO } B \\
& \text { GOTO } A \\
{[B]} & Y \leftarrow Y-1 \\
& Z \leftarrow Z-1 \\
& \text { GOTO } C
\end{array}
$$

- If we begin with $X_{1}=5$ and $X_{2}=2, \ldots$
- If we begin with $X_{1}=2$ and $X_{2}=5, \ldots$
- This program computes the following partial function

$$
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{1}-x_{2} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq x_{2} \\ \uparrow & \text { if } x_{1}<x_{2}\end{cases}
$$

