





CRITERIA OF THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD

The major criteria of the scientific method are intangible principles :

- Refutability : a scientific affirmation is said to be rebuttable if it is possible to record an observation or conduct an experiment which, if it were positive, would contradict this statement.
- Non-contradiction : is the law that prohibits affirming and denying the same term or proposition
- Reproducibility : Science works by drawing "laws" or "principles" from reproducible observations whose main property is to be true as long as no observation has proved otherwise.

REPRODUCIBILITY...

- Many of us know the important work of Karl Popper (philosopher of sciences) in modeling and simulation. Karl Popper is generally regarded as one of the greatest philosophers of science of the 20th century.
- The criterion of **reproducibility** is one of the conditions on which Popper distinguishes between the scientific or **pseudo-scientific** character of a study.
- Scientific conclusions can only be drawn from a well observed and described "event", which has appeared several times, observed by different people and/or studies.
- This criterion eliminates random effects that distort the results as well as errors in judgment or manipulations by scientists.





REPRODUCIBILITY & CORROBORATION

 There is a growing alarm of results that have been published but that cannot be reproduced.



- Science advances faster when people waste less time pursuing false leads.
- Science moves forward by corroboration when researchers verify each other's data.
- A study of top scientific research in UK (REF) showed that only 11% of medical studies where reproducible. (First page of "The Guardian")



LET'S HAVE A LOOK AT SOME DEFINITIONS... WHAT DO WE SEE FROM COLLEAGUES

- In Fomel and Claerbout 2009:
 - ✓ Reproducibility often means replication depending on computer scientists
- In Drummond 2009¹: 1: http://www.site.uottawa.ca/ICML09WS/papers/w2.pdf
 - ✓ *"Reproducibility requires changes; replicability avoids them"*
- In Demmel and Nguyen 2013 (COMPUTER ORIENTED means replication)
 "Reproducibility, i.e. getting bitwise identical results from run to run"

In Revol and Théveny 2013 (COMPUTER ORIENTED TOO – means replication too)

"What is called <u>numerical reproducibility</u> is the problem of <u>getting the same result when the</u> <u>scientific computation is run several times</u>, <u>either on the same machine or on different machines</u>, <u>with different numbers of processing units</u>, types, execution environments, computational loads, etc."

THIS IS THE MOST COMMON SCIENTIFIC SENSE

-	REPEATABILITY
W	HY DO WE NEED REPEATABILITY ?
F	f you don't have repeatability, how would we debug our stochastic simulations ? How do we repeat/reproduce the events observed in simulations ? confirmation of Higgs discovery, etc)
a	n Digital Computer Science we are used to deterministic computing and we expect « repeatability » of computer experiments. Computer debugging and program setup is based in repeatability!
d c	Even when we use pseudo-random numbers for stochastic models, we are running deterministic experiments since pseudo-random number generators have been carefully designed to be repeatable (though some computer scientist often use the 'reproducible'' term).
ii V	n the context of a Biological or Physical experiment, repeatability measures the variation n measurements taken by a single instrument or person under the same conditions, while reproducibility measures whether an entire study or experiment can be reproduced n its entirety – by the same research theme or by another team.





ANOTHER EXAMPLE WITH SOFTWARE FORGET JAVA FOR HPC OR LOOK AT THIS OLD PAPER AND PRESENTATION... How Java's Floating-Point Hurts Everyone Everywhere Prof. Kahan is THE leader for IEEE 754 Prof W Kahan and Joseph D Darcy **Floating-Point standard.** Elect Eng. & Computer Science Univ. of Calif. @ Berkeley Numerically non-expert programmers are legion This document: http://www.cw.berkslay.edu/-wkahan/JAWAhurt.pdf or http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/-darcy/JAWAhurt.pdf Error-analysis can be very unobvious Correctly plotted Streamlines es should not cut across auch other ! **Competent error-analysts** are extremely rare...

31.75



EXAMPLE OF MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN ERRORS AND MISS-BEHAVIORS > HYPER-THREADING, MELTDOWN, SPECTRE,...

[WARNING] Intel Skylake/Kaby Lake processors: broken hyper ... https://list.debian.org/debian-devel/2017/06/msg00308.html * Traduire cette page 25 juin 2017 - TL;DR: unfixed Skylake and Kaby Lake processors could, in some situations, dangerously misbehave when hyper-threading is enabled. Disable hyper-threading immediately in BIOS/UEFI to work around the problem. Read this advisory for instructions about an Intel-provided fix. SO, WHAT IS THIS ALL ...

Users of systems with Intel Skylake processors may have two choices:

 If your processor model (listed in /proc/cpuinfo) is 78 or 94, and the stepping is 3, install the non-free "intel-microcode" package with base version 3.20170511.1, and reboot the system. THIS IS THE RECOMMENDED SOLUTION FOR THESE SYSTEMS, AS IT FIXES OTHER PROCESSOR ISSUES AS WELL.

Skylake and Kaby Lake CPUs have broken hyper-threading - Fudzilla

https://www.fudzilla.com/.../43964-skylake-and-kaby-lake-cpus-ha...
 Traduline cette page
26 juin 2017 - During April and May, Intel started updating processor documentation
with a new errata note and it turned out that the reason was that Skylake and Kaby
Lake silicon has a microcode bug it did not want any one to find out about. The
errata is described in detail on the Debian mailing list, and affects Skylake ...



(intel) Look marks

FP Accuracy & Reproducibility Intel[®] Conference Organism and [®] Math Kernel Library an Intel[®] Threading Building Blocks

> Presentes Georg 2026borger Date: 17-09-2014





WE DON'T HAVE EASY SOLUTIONS – BUT TOOLS ARE COMING...

Evolutions of containers like Singularity for HPC - efficient binary containers (ready for ARM processors...)

Embedded publishing :

Sweave, knitR, ReScience, SHARE, Verifiable Computational Research, SOLE, Collage Authoring Environment.

Dissemination Platforms:

IPOL, ResearchCompendia.org, Madagascar, MLOSS.org, CoRR (NIST), RunMyCode.org, nanoHUB.org, thedatahub.org, Open Science Framework, Scientific Open Data,...

Workflow Tracking & Resarch Environment :

Sumatra, CoRR (NIST), CDE, Kepler, Chameleon, Galaxy, Tavera, Pegasus, Jupyter notebook, GenePattern,





EVEN IF WE HAVE NO DEPENDENCY BETWEEN ELEMENTARY COMPUTING REPEATABILITY OF PARALLEL SIMULATION IS NOT GRANTED

A system being of collection of interacting "objects" (dictionary definition) – a simulation will make all those objects evolve during the simulation time with a precise modeling goal.

- To obtain repeatability think parallel when you design your sequential code : Assign an « independent » random stream and initialization status for the pseudo-random number generator of each stochastic object of the simulation.
- An object could also encapsulate a random variate used at some points of the simulation. Every random variate could also have their own random stream with the same approach.
- This O.O. approach, applied to stochastic objects, is the key to have a reference sequential program that we will be able to compare to a parallel version.

[Hill 1996] : HILL D., "Object-oriented Analysis and Simulation", Addison-Wesley, 1996, 291 p.



	-												RNG					
	P	C)R	T	AE	BIL	Γ	TY I	SSI	UE	s E	VE	NON	RI	EGUL	AR MA	ACHII	NES
ors	four	hd	•	Di	ffe	rent	· (`omn	iler	s (2	case	s) (Vith Ide	ntic	al Hard	ware (2 d	ases)	Operating
																	ascs ₁ ,	operating
terr	1S (2	C	as	es	;), E	sare	I	/letal	VS.	virt	uar	viac	hine (1 d	case	e).			
-						-									J2 PBNO na Care 2 3.04 with epstd-4-00	Dee 1484 Stratute		
	12 MLFOM	0 peril	ented a	in the	distant pro-	tornalista (Dal	6650	1 with 2 versions 5000v2, hand EV	2879.0	ut I Des TT	100, AMD 42	ά.					g Alasken Teels Na	2010/06/08
	Signman Co	ster (?	-pease	KE) #1	8 (1831) 18	it traditions	the s	ce, lice, repressiva,	Varia C	Carping wide a	event.		Expected re CHECK32.00		Results obtains with Open64 D			bisined with GW ger:
	Constant (NAME OF COMPANY AND A DOM: NO.		Cer18a: 1768		AMD Opiares	Cary (1-400002)			8.271442		0.5714422	11/2012/00/0		INFORMATION INFORMATION			
1	000000			i an	1.124	1.32010		(156) 6172	Cognia MadOV in			0.7421592 0.ce/00188		0.5421513	1,218(1901062)		0010020404	
- 10		214		p	1 44	be de		Do shares	e billions		les lesi		0.433442	1	0.4554424			
	T09911	30	12	1.37	e Ye		e	Yes Too	30	Yee	Ter Yes		k Results for Thusbe			Table 7: Results for		
	ELMON 68	100	1 10				10	Yes Yes	Vm Vm		Vie Vie Vie Vie	- 11	100 DOLLEY Ubaste-	13.04 WI	gr (fbrao)-1990	08 T1746 3366	tines of Chutte-I	3.04 and 18.04
B	mMT_64 RG32k.ht	Yes	Ne	1.0	e Yo	3700	6	Yes X10	.te	80	NO TO		Expected results	R	esuits obtained	Espected results	Results	Results
	ELL-H2a	11	delarð					CNG on Con			Ven Ven		IECK3LOUT.TXT	wi	th Open54 BBi	CHECK32.0UT		obtained of
м	116.94				reason a	Window	17.9	印尼公司	¢		tin Yei		0.9714423		0.2714422	TAL	Ubanta Li on	Ubunto 14 on
			1.0	Ex	period (results	+	Results of	former 1	t		_	0 7421932	-	0.7421583		Virtual Box	Virtual Box
			1.9			WT IN	1	with fe 3				-	0.9635265		0.66780946	0.6455914	0.6455913	0.6455913
			1				1	rentri					0.4334422 0.1254190	-	0.4334423	0.9415597	0.0415598	0.9415598
			1-			1229121 T017648		0.51447242 1.3887702W				-	0.4688978	-	0.4688579	0.9034473	0.9034472	8.9034472
			1			5675885		11212684		1		-	0.2675911	-	0.2675910	0.9348065	0.9348064	0.9348064
			10	0.17	752851	2172794		F19712166	6699621	1			0.1784127	1	0.1784128	B.7581965	0.7581964	0.7582964
														-				



• On the right – same simulation with the 2002 version of Mastumoto Mersenne Twister **Then, the right solution is obtained : ellipsoid with a circular section**.



SOME TOP PRNGS (PSEUDO RANDOM NUMBER GENERATORS)

Green PRNG are said 'crush' resistant (TestU01 software) and can be recommended:

MRG (Multiple Recursive Generator) – slow but top API for reproducing parallel simulations x_i = (a₁*x_{i-1} + a₂*x_{i-2} + ... + a_k*x_{i-k} + c) mod m – with k>1

Ex: MRG32k3a & MRG32kp – by L'Ecuyer and Panneton

- MLFG (Multiple Lagged Fibonacci Generator) Non linear by Michael Mascagni MLFG 6331_64
- Mersenne Twisters by Matsumoto, Nishimura, Saito (MT, SFMT, MTGP, TinyMT...)
- WELLs generators by Panneton, L'Ecuyer and Matsumoto, L'Ecuyer
- Phylox and Threefry by Salmon et al. presented at SC'11 with crypto background and a parameterization technique to distribute different. In his master's thesis, Liang Li (Prof. Mascagni's student couldn't reproduce these tests. I had the same problem with Philox4x32-10.

See the following reference for advices including hardware accelerators.

HILL D. PASSERAT-PALMBACH J. MAZEL C., TRAORE, M.K., "Distribution of Random Streams for Simulation Practitioners", Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, June 2013, Vol. 25, Issue 10, pp. 1427-1442.

QUICK SURVEY OF RANDOM STREAMS PARALLELIZATION (1) Using the same generator

- The Central Server (CS) technique (avoid for HPC reproducibility)
- The Leap Frog (LF) technique. Means partitioning a sequence {x_i, i=0, 1, ...} into 'n' sub-sequences, the jth sub-sequence is {x_{kn+j-1}, k=0, 1, ...} like a deck of cards dealt to card players.
- The Sequence Splitting (SS) or blocking or regular/fixed spacing technique. Means partitioning a sequence {x_i, i=0, 1, ...,} into 'n' sub-sequences, the jth sub-sequence is {x_{k+(i-1)m}, k=0, ..., m1} where m is the length of each sub-sequence
- The Cycle Division or Jump ahead approach. Analytical computing of the generator state in advance after a huge number of cycles (generations). Jump Ahead technique (can be used for both Leap Frog or Sequence splitting)
- The Indexed Sequences (IS) or random spacing. Means that the generator is initialized with 'n' different seeds/statuses









PRINCIPLE OF MUONIC TOMOGRAPHY

Atmospheric muons go through matter. Depending on their energy and of the matter they traverse it is possible to reconstruct the inner image of a large edifice with multiple sensors (figure by Samuel Béné)





The muon is an elementary particle similar to the electron, with a negative charge and a spin of 1/2, but with a much greater mass. It is classified as a lepton. The muon is not believed to have any sub-structure—that is, it is not thought to be composed of any simpler particles (as is the case of other leptons).





SCHWEITZER, P., MAZEL, C., FEHR, F., CÂRLOGANU, C., HILL D., "Proper parallel Monte Carlo for computed tomography of volcanoes", Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation, ACM/IEEE/IFIP, Helsinki July 1st-5th, 2013, pp. 519-526.

BERFORDUCIBILITY BETWEEN PHI & REGULAR XEON FIRST ATTEMPTSFirst try with simple compilations of simulation to study the validity of the results Intel C compiler with the "-O2 -g -Wall -Wextra" - (no -fast-math no aggressive -O3) For Xeon Phi, we added the "-mmic" option. (no -fast-math no aggressive -O3). We evaluate the deviation in the results when the compilation is left free (limited to 1000 muons events - muon reaching the detector) Very important differences in final muon energy have been noticed (up to 0.18 GeV) Also noticed important differences for the final position (up to 0.3 m). If the initial energy of the particle is between 5 GeV and 10 TeV, its final energy is between 0.15 GeV and 5 TeV (or even zero, if it does not even reach the detector). A difference of 0.18 GeV is therefore not acceptable. The detector has plans whose size is one meter by one meter. An inaccuracy of 0.3 m on the end position means a 30% inaccuracy on one dimension of the plane!



e cannot expect bit for bit re e (x86 & k1Om). compiler flags, we observed	l bit for b		-		ifferent							
compiler flags, we observed	l bit for b	it reprodu	ucibility in									
compiler flags, we observed	l bit for b	it reprodu	cibility in									
		IC ICPICAL		single pre	cision but							
(but with the best compile	r flags we	e found fo	r reprodu	cibility)								
Relative CPU-Pfi differences between the results and number of altered bits												
Difference ↓ \ Result →	Position X	Position Z	Direction X	Direction Y	Direction Z							
0 bit: bit for bit reproducibility	4922	4934	4896	4975	4913							
1 bit: 1.11E-16 ≤ Δ < 2.22E-16	25	21	14	5	18							
2 bits: 2.22E-16 ≤ Δ < 4.44E-16	21	18	52	4	31							
3 bits: 4.44E-16 ≤ Δ < 8.88E-16	15	12	23	6	12							
4 bits: 8.88E-16 ≤ Δ < 1.78E-15	10	7	5	4	10							
		21	10	6	16							
	Relative CPU-Phi differences between the Difference \downarrow \ Result \rightarrow 0 bit: bit for bit reproducibility 1 bit: 1.11E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 2.22E-16$ 2 bits: 2.22E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 4.44E-16$ 3 bits: 4.44E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 8.88E-16$	Relative CPU-Phi differences between the results and mDifference \checkmark Position X0 bit: bit for bit reproducibility49221 bit: 1.11E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 2.22E-16$ 252 bits: 2.22E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 4.44E-16$ 213 bits: 4.44E-16 $\leq \Delta \leq 8.88E-16$ 15	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Relative CPU-Phi differences between the results and number of altered bits \\ \hline Difference $$\downarrow$ & Result $$\rightarrow$ Position X$ Position Z$ Direction X$ \\ \hline O bit: bit for bit reproducibility $$4922$ $$4934$ $$4896$ \\ \hline 1 bit: 1.11E-16 $$\leq $ $$< $$2.22E-16$ $$25$ $$21$ $$14$ \\ \hline 2 bits: 2.22E-16 $$\leq $ $$< $$4.44E-16$ $$21$ $$18$ $$52$ \\ \hline 3 bits: 4.44E-16 $$\leq $ $$< $$8.88E-16$ $$15$ $$12$ $$23$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							



Numerical replication is important for scientists in many sensitive areas, finance, climate, nuclear safety, medicine...