



# CIS 5371 Cryptography

---

## 1. Introduction

### A simple communication game

(Textbook: *Modern Cryptography, Theory & Practice*. Wembo Mao, Prentice-Hall, 2004.)



# Coin-flipping over the phone - a simple example

---

- Discuss the effectiveness & practicality of crypto.
- Discuss the foundations of crypto.
- Establish a mindset for developing crypto systems for Information Assurance.



# Coin-flipping over the phone

---

Alice and Bob have just split up.

They now live in different towns and must decide who will get take their 1967 Stingray Corvette.

They decide to flip a coin over the phone.

Alice doesn't trust Bob:

So she must:

1. (*Hiding property*: Bob should not be able to cheat)  
Hide her choice from Bob, but also
2. (*Binding property*: Alice should not be able to cheat)  
Commit to her choice,



# Coin-flipping over the phone

---

## Bit Commitment function $f$

- $f$  is an integer function
- $f$  is easy to evaluate  
For any  $x \in I$  it is easy to compute  $f(x)$
- **Hiding property**  
Given  $f(x)$  it is hard to find  $x$ .
- **Binding property**  
It is hard to find any other integer  $y$  such that  $f(y) = f(x)$ .



# Coin-flipping over the phone

---

## Coin-flipping protocol

Alice and Bob agree on a commitment function  $f$ , and that if Bob can guess correctly the parity of a number  $x$  that Alice will select then he wins (gets the Corvette).

1. Alice selects a *large* random number  $x$  and computes  $f(x)$ : she tells Bob the value of  $f(x)$  over the phone.
2. Bob tells Alice over the phone his guess of the parity of  $x$ .
3. Alice tells Bob over the phone the value of  $x$ .
4. Bob first checks the correctness of  $x$  by evaluating  $f(x)$  and then the correctness of his guess. If he  $x$  is incorrect or if he guessed correctly the parity of  $x$ , then he wins; otherwise he loses.



# Coin-flipping over the phone

---

## Security Analysis of the Coin-flipping protocol

- Since the commitment function  $f$  *hides* the value  $x$ , Bob cannot determine its parity.
- Since the commitment function  $f$  is *binding* Alice cannot choose later (after being told Bob's guess of the parity of  $x$ ), a value  $y$  with different parity such that  $f(y) = f(x)$  (in case Bob has guessed correctly the parity of  $x$ ).
- So Bob cannot do better than guessing and Alice cannot cheat.
- This is an *informal* proof. The scope of this course is to develop the necessary techniques and methodologies for analyzing formally the security of cryptographic protocols.



# Foundations of cryptography

---

- Modern crypto is based on crypto primitives such as the one just used.
- The existence of a bit commitment function implies the existence of a secure crypto system, and conversely,
- The existence of a secure crypto system implies the existence of a commitment function.



# Cryptographic primitives

---

- *Bit commitment* is one crypto primitive.  
There are many others.
- *One-way functions* are another very important crypto primitive.
- It can be shown that  
*one-way functions*  $\Rightarrow$  *bit-commitments*
- Other important crypto primitives are:  
*Hash functions*  
*Digital signatures*  
*Encryption functions*





# Criteria for desirable crypto systems

---

*What is a good cryptographic system/protocol?*

- Conceptually simple.
- Efficient in practice.
- Proven secure in some security model/framework.
- Based on well established primitives and services.



# Modern role of cryptography

---

- Support Internet security: privacy integrity, authentication, anonymity
- Support e-commerce, e-government and other e-applications
- Support system security.