

Chapter 10: Data Abstraction and Object Orientation

June 27, 2016

Three fundamental concepts to object-oriented programming

- ▶ Encapsulation
- ▶ Inheritance
- ▶ Dynamic method binding

Object-oriented programming

- ▶ What we would like from any module-based approach:
 - ▶ Reduce conceptual load by minimizing the level of detail needed at any one point
 - ▶ Fault containment, so that programmers don't misuse a component, and limiting where a component might be used
 - ▶ Independence: it would be nice to be able to be agnostic with respect to the actual implementation; if we later change out one implementation for another, then it should not have any evident impact on code using the module

Object-orientation

- ▶ However, just using modules alone doesn't seem to be adequate; when you want to extend functionality or replace some method, module syntax alone doesn't seem to have any convenient way of expressing these minor modifications.

Refinement

- ▶ “Object-orientation can be seen as an attempt to enhance opportunities for code reuse by making it easy to define new abstractions as *extensions* or *refinements* of existing abstractions.” [page 473]

Derivation

- ▶ In an object-oriented language, one of the more powerful ideas is that the idea of a *derived* class, which *inherits* the fields and methods of its parent class, and which can be augmented, hidden, or supplanted by the programmer with other functionality.

Encapsulation and inheritance

- ▶ Modules: some languages allow a module to be split into the declaration and definitions needed for outside consumers (often called a “header”), and the internal bits needed for the implementation (generally called the “body”).
- ▶ As the book points out, it is common for a method to utilize a “self” (or “this” or “current”) that allows the module to refer to the calling instance variable; this generally can be regarded as turning a call of the form `var->method(x)` to `method(var,x)`.

Modules and types

- ▶ It has been common for languages to conflate modules and types.
- ▶ Here's an introduction to Haskell's rules for modules, for instance.

Initialization and finalization

- ▶ Generally, initialization in an object-oriented paradigm has been called a “constructor”; some languages have also allowed for “destructors”, though this is comparatively rare.
- ▶ Lots of issues with constructors can arise: conventions on passing arguments and their meaning; execution order in deeply structured (or even multiply inherited!) objects that have many levels of constructors; garbage collection for languages that have no explicit destructors. . .

Dynamic method binding and virtual methods

- ▶ Consider the situation where each of the following derived classes have redefined a method called `print_classes()`:

```
class person { ...  
class student : public person { ...  
class professor : public person { ...  
  
student s;  
professor p;
```

(Continued)

```
person *x = &s;  
person *y = &p;
```

```
x->print_classes();  
y->print_classes();
```

Smalltalk

- ▶ Smalltalk is where the ideas for object orientation were first fleshed out, and in many ways is the canonical exemplar of object orientation, using only dynamic type-checking and dynamic method lookup. This imposes speed penalties that are not present in languages that allow the compiler to do more of the work.