Weeks 9 and 10 - Crime, venality, and stewardship

March 22, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What is crime?



Crime is most commonly defined as a violation of law that is punishable by the entity responsible for that law Crimes and ethical problems related to computer technology

 Fortunately, computer science itself, like mathematics, is not a fertile area for crime.

 Unfortunately, computer technology is a tool, and like all tools, it can be used for good or for ill.

Criminal categories

Crimes generally fall into three broad types: doing, not doing, and deception, though of course it is possible for a single act to encompass more than one of these types.

Criminal categories: doing

Within "doing" we generally find crimes broken down into offenses against some category, such as people, morals, or things, although others have been also created, such as creating laws that protect "the environment", though there have also been crimes of being, such as attainder or in rem proceedings.

Criminal categories: not doing

Generally, "not doing" falls into some sort of negligence, such as criminal negligence; however, there are sometimes service obligations such as jury duty and military conscription that failure to do can result in legal punishment.

Criminal categories: deception

 Generally, "deception" includes actions such as fraud (victim consents due to lies or other misrepresentation) or "swatting" (law enforcement is misinformed as to the commission of a serious violent crime).

Computer technology as an integral part of crime

- While computer technology often is an adjunct to many crimes (for example, someone uses a hard drive as a bludgeon), it can integral, such as the very prevalent (and profitable) crime of ransomware.
- The widespread adoption of technology is creating many venues for crime; the ever-popular 419 / advance-fee scams.

The current successful cybercrime categories

According to RSA; largely credit card fraud, followed by account take over / identity theft.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Trends

- Ransomware
- Identity theft and account takeover

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Insiders connected to APTs

Internet vigilantism

Ethical issues also become involved when there is vigilantism such as 419eater.org, wikileaks, Anonymous, and "doxing".

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

As you can see from the Wikipedia page, it's hard to use a single word to characterize the group "Anonymous"; maybe "group" isn't even an accurate word.

Whatever Anonymous might be, it certainly receives credit for various acts of "hacktivism".

Scambaiting



Leaking



 $\{width=1in\}$

From Wikileaks "About" page: "WikiLeaks specializes in the analysis and publication of large datasets of censored or otherwise restricted official materials involving war, spying and corruption. It has so far published more than 10 million documents and associated analyses." Not the exclusive province of the Internet; public release of data about individuals in order to harass or otherwise embarrass has long been done. The Internet however makes for a substantially more powerful platform for these type of attacks.

Greyballing

In today's news, how Uber protects itself from regulators

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Information brokers have the ethically interesting business of selling other people's information without their permission.

The Senate's Commerce Committee recently issued a comprehensive report on this industry. Particularly concerning are the sections on how "financially vulnerable" segments of the populace are targeted.

More information for sale?

New Bill Would Allow ISPs to Sell Your Web Browsing Data

Cross-device tracking

- Wikipedia
- January 2017 FTC report on Cross-Device Tracking

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

An advertising industry view

Air is a medium, not a "gap": Cross-device tracking via audio

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Audio links
- SilverPush
- Audio cross-device defenses

Pervasive sensors and actuators

Interesting not only from the perspective of privacy, but from the other implications of this technology; for instance, instead of requiring a PIN and a token like a bank, ATMs could instead accept a PIN and a one-time code from a cell phone for banking transactions

Pervasive sensors and actuators

As we saw from Vault7, the CIA is very interested in subverting common elements of our new technological environment. One of the more interesting pages focuses on how it works on hacking Samsung "smart" televisions (aka "Weeping Angle").

Pervasive sensors and actuators

 Moving up the stack, here the CIA is looking how to expand its array of arms against many technologies

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Making a market in cybernetic arms

Making money in the cybernetic arms mark

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Making a market in cybernetic arms

 Where the CIA gets some of its cybernetic arms against Apple's IOS

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Some of its cybernetic arms against Google's Android

Making a market in cybernetic arms

One of the more well-known players

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Another one, via Wikileaks
- All of Hacking Team emails

Hoarding vulnerabilities

- EPIC's description of its perception of the current Vulnerabilities Equities Process
- The 2013-12-12 White House report referenced above; the relevant point is Recommendation 30

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ethical questions about cyberwar

- New York Times March 4 story about U.S. cyberwar against North Korea's missile program
- New York Times March 22 story about North Korean missile launch failure

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stewardship

A non-tragedy of the commons? Universal encryption

- Progress
- Common platform for free encryption

Proactivity: Vigilantism, or Stewardship?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Retraction Watch