Chapter 5: CPU Scheduling

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
- Thread Scheduling
- Multiple-Processor Scheduling
- Operating Systems Examples
- Algorithm Evaluation

Objectives

- To introduce CPU scheduling, which is the basis for multiprogrammed operating systems
- To describe various CPU-scheduling algorithms
- To discuss evaluation criteria for selecting a CPU-scheduling algorithm for a particular system

Basic Concepts

- Maximum CPU utilization obtained with multiprogramming
- CPU–I/O Burst Cycle – Process execution consists of a *cycle* of CPU execution and I/O wait
- **CPU burst** distribution

Histogram of CPU-burst Times



Alternating Sequence of CPU And I/O Bursts



CPU Scheduler

- Selects from among the processes in memory that are ready to execute, and allocates the CPU to one of them
- CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
  1. Switches from running to waiting state
  2. Switches from running to ready state
  3. Switches from waiting to ready
  4. Terminates
- Scheduling under 1 and 4 is **nonpreemptive**
- All other scheduling is **preemptive**

Dispatcher

- Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:
    - switching context
    - switching to user mode
    - jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program
- **Dispatch latency** – time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running

Scheduling Criteria

- **CPU utilization** – keep the CPU as busy as possible
- **Throughput** – # of processes that complete their execution per time unit
- **Turnaround time** – amount of time to execute a particular process
- **Waiting time** – amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue
- **Response time** – amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced, not output (for time-sharing environment)

Scheduling Algorithm Optimization Criteria

- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time

First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

Process	Burst Time
P_1	24
P_2	3
P_3	3

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order: P_1 , P_2 , P_3
  The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:



- Waiting time for $P_1 = 0$; $P_2 = 24$; $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time: (0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17

FCFS Scheduling (Cont)

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order

P_2 , P_3 , P_1

- The Gantt chart for the schedule is:



- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$
- Much better than previous case
- *Convoy effect* short process behind long process

Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling

- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst. Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time
- SJF is optimal – gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes
  - The difficulty is knowing the length of the next CPU request

Example of SJF

Process	Arrival Time	Burst Time
P_1	0.0	6
P_2	2.0	8
P_3	4.0	7
P_4	5.0	3

- SJF scheduling chart



- Average waiting time = (3 + 16 + 9 + 0) / 4 = 7

Determining Length of Next CPU Burst

- Can only estimate the length
- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging

1. $t_n = \text{actual length of } n^{th} \text{ CPU burst}$
2. $\tau_{n+1} = \text{predicted value for the next CPU burst}$
3. $\alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le 1$
4. Define : $\tau_{n=1} = \alpha\, t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n .$

Prediction of the Length of the Next CPU Burst



CPU burst (t_i)		6	4	6	4	13	13	13	…
"guess" (τ_i)	10	8	6	6	5	9	11	12	…

Examples of Exponential Averaging

- $\alpha = 0$
  - $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n$
  - Recent history does not count
- $\alpha = 1$
  - $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha \, t_n$
  - Only the actual last CPU burst counts
- If we expand the formula, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n+1} = \alpha \, t_n &+ (1 - \alpha)\alpha \, t_n - 1 + \ldots \\
&+ (1 - \alpha)^j \alpha \, t_{n-j} + \ldots \\
&+ (1 - \alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since both α and $(1 - \alpha)$ are less than or equal to 1, each successive term has less weight than its predecessor

Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest integer ≡ highest priority)
  - Preemptive
  - nonpreemptive
- SJF is a priority scheduling where priority is the predicted next CPU burst time
- Problem ≡ **Starvation** – low priority processes may never execute
- Solution ≡ **Aging** – as time progresses increase the priority of the process

Round Robin (RR)

- Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (*time quantum*), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.
- If there are n processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is q, then each process gets $1/n$ of the CPU time in chunks of at most q time units at once. No process waits more than $(n-1)q$ time units.
- Performance
  - q large $\Rightarrow$ FIFO
  - q small $\Rightarrow$ q must be large with respect to context switch, otherwise overhead is too high

Example of RR with Time Quantum = 4

Process	Burst Time
P_1	24
P_2	3
P_3	3

- The Gantt chart is:



P_1 P_2 P_3 P_1 P_1 P_1 P_1 P_1

0 4 7 10 14 18 22 26 30

- Typically, higher average turnaround than SJF, but better *response*

Time Quantum and Context Switch Time



Turnaround Time Varies With The Time Quantum



process	time
P_1	6
P_2	3
P_3	1
P_4	7

Multilevel Queue

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues:
  foreground (interactive)
  background (batch)
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
  - foreground – RR
  - background – FCFS
- Scheduling must be done between the queues
  - Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of starvation.
  - Time slice – each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes; i.e., 80% to foreground in RR
  - 20% to background in FCFS

Multilevel Queue Scheduling



Multilevel Feedback Queue

- A process can move between the various queues; aging can be implemented this way
- Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:
    - number of queues
    - scheduling algorithms for each queue
    - method used to determine when to upgrade a process
    - method used to determine when to demote a process
    - method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service

Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

- Three queues:
  - Q_0 – RR with time quantum 8 milliseconds
  - Q_1 – RR time quantum 16 milliseconds
  - Q_2 – FCFS
- Scheduling
  - A new job enters queue Q_0 which is served FCFS. When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue Q_1.
  - At Q_1 job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds. If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue Q_2.

Multilevel Feedback Queues



Thread Scheduling

- Distinction between user-level and kernel-level threads
- Many-to-one and many-to-many models, thread library schedules user-level threads to run on LWP
  - Known as **process-contention scope (PCS)** since scheduling competition is within the process

- Kernel thread scheduled onto available CPU is **system-contention scope (SCS)** – competition among all threads in system

Pthread Scheduling

- API allows specifying either PCS or SCS during thread creation
  - PTHREAD SCOPE PROCESS schedules threads using PCS scheduling
  - PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM schedules threads using SCS scheduling.

Pthread Scheduling API

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
         int i;
        pthread t tid[NUM THREADS];
        pthread attr t attr;
        /* get the default attributes */
        pthread attr init(&attr);
        /* set the scheduling algorithm to PROCESS or SYSTEM */
        pthread attr setscope(&attr, PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM);
        /* set the scheduling policy - FIFO, RT, or OTHER */
        pthread attr setschedpolicy(&attr, SCHED OTHER);
        /* create the threads */
        for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
                    pthread create(&tid[i],&attr,runner,NULL);
```

Pthread Scheduling API

```
      /* now join on each thread */
      for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
                 pthread join(tid[i], NULL);
}
 /* Each thread will begin control in this function */
void *runner(void *param)
{
      printf("I am a thread\n");
      pthread exit(0);
}
```

Multiple-Processor Scheduling

- CPU scheduling more complex when multiple CPUs are available
- **Homogeneous processors** within a multiprocessor
- **Asymmetric multiprocessing** – only one processor accesses the system data structures, alleviating the need for data sharing
- **Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP)** – each processor is self-scheduling, all processes in common ready queue, or each has its own private queue of ready processes
- **Processor affinity** – process has affinity for processor on which it is currently running
  - **soft affinity**
  - **hard affinity**

NUMA and CPU Scheduling



Multicore Processors

- Recent trend to place multiple processor cores on same physical chip
- Faster and consume less power
- Multiple threads per core also growing
  - Takes advantage of memory stall to make progress on another thread while memory retrieve happens

Multithreaded Multicore System



Operating System Examples

- Solaris scheduling
- Windows XP scheduling
- Linux scheduling

Solaris Dispatch Table



priority	time quantum	time quantum expired	return from sleep
0	200	0	50
5	200	0	50
10	160	0	51
15	160	5	51
20	120	10	52
25	120	15	52
30	80	20	53
35	80	25	54
40	40	30	55
45	40	35	56
50	40	40	58
55	40	45	58
59	20	49	59

Solaris Scheduling



Windows XP Priorities



	real-time	high	above normal	normal	below normal	idle priority
time-critical	31	15	15	15	15	15
highest	26	15	12	10	8	6
above normal	25	14	11	9	7	5
normal	24	13	10	8	6	4
below normal	23	12	9	7	5	3
lowest	22	11	8	6	4	2
idle	16	1	1	1	1	1

Linux Scheduling

- Constant order *O*(1) scheduling time
- Two priority ranges: time-sharing and real-time
- **Real-time** range from 0 to 99 and **nice** value from 100 to 140
- (figure 5.15)

Priorities and Time-slice length



List of Tasks Indexed According to Priorities

Algorithm Evaluation

- Deterministic modeling – takes a particular predetermined workload and defines the performance of each algorithm for that workload
- Queueing models
- Implementation

Evaluation of CPU schedulers by Simulation



End of Chapter 5

5.08


logical CPU
logical CPU
logical CPU
logical CPU
physical CPU
physical CPU
system bus

In-5.7

In-5.8



In-5.9



Dispatch Latency



Java Thread Scheduling

- JVM Uses a Preemptive, Priority-Based Scheduling Algorithm
- FIFO Queue is Used if There Are Multiple Threads With the Same Priority

Java Thread Scheduling (cont)

JVM Schedules a Thread to Run When:

1. The Currently Running Thread Exits the Runnable State
2. A Higher Priority Thread Enters the Runnable State

* Note – the JVM Does Not Specify Whether Threads are Time-Sliced or Not

Time-Slicing

Since the JVM Doesn’t Ensure Time-Slicing, the yield() Method May Be Used:

```
while (true) {
        // perform CPU-intensive task
        . . .
        Thread.yield();
}
```

This Yields Control to Another Thread of Equal Priority

Thread Priorities

Priority	**Comment**
Thread.MIN_PRIORITY	Minimum Thread Priority
Thread.MAX_PRIORITY	Maximum Thread Priority
Thread.NORM_PRIORITY	Default Thread Priority

Priorities May Be Set Using setPriority() method:

```
setPriority(Thread.NORM_PRIORITY + 2);
```

Solaris 2 Scheduling