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## 1D Reaction-Diffusion Equations (1DRDEs)

- Consider the reaction-diffusion equation:

$$
u_{t}=\nu u_{x x}+f(u), \quad t>0, \quad u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad \nu>0
$$

- Have applications to:
- Combustion problems
- Excitable tissue models (nerve, heart, pancreas)
- Complex chemical reactions
- Various contexts for 1D problems
- Pure initial value problem: mathematical
- Initial boundary value problem: modeling


## A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs

- Consider the above 1DRDE:

$$
u_{t}=\nu u_{x x}+f(u), \quad u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
$$

- Assume monotonic solution: $u(x, t)<u(y, t)$ when $x<y$ with $u(-\infty, t)=0, u(+\infty, t)=1$. Then the gradient, $v=u_{x}$, satisfies:

$$
v_{t}=\nu v_{x x}+f^{\prime}(u) v, \quad v(x, 0)=u_{0}^{\prime}(x)
$$

## A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs (cont.)

- With $v(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j} \delta\left(x-X_{j}(t)\right), X_{j}(t)$ position and $m_{j}$ the 'mass' of particle $j$, we recover as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} v\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) d x^{\prime} \\
& u(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{j} H\left(x-X_{j}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is the basis of the 1D random gradient method (RGM) due to Sherman and Peskin with $m_{j}=\frac{1}{N}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots N$


## A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs (cont.)

- The 1D RGM Algorithm (for each time step):
- Gaussian Random Walk Step: $X_{j}(t+\Delta t)=X_{j}(t)+\sigma_{j}$ where the $\sigma_{j}$ are independent $N(0,2 \nu \Delta t)$ random variables
- Evaluate $u_{j}=u\left(X_{j}(t+\Delta t)\right), j=1, \ldots, N$ using the above step-function ansatz (equiv. to sorting on $X_{j}(t)$ )
- Kill or Replicate Particles with probability $\left|f^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\right| \Delta t$ :

1. Kill particle if $f^{\prime} \leq 0$
2. Rep. particle at $X_{j}$ if $f^{\prime}>0$

- The Ghoniem/Sherman algorithm is similar except that Monte Carlo creation/destruction is replaced by deterministic mass evolution $\frac{d m_{j}}{d t}=f^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)$


## A Deterministic Particle Method for monotonically increasing solutions

- Mark Kac: "use Monte Carlo until you understand the problem"
- Use the function representation for a monotonic solution to the 1DRDE with particle positions defined implicitly by:

$$
u\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}(t)=-\infty \\
\frac{i}{N}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N-2, N-1 \\
x_{N}(t)=+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

## A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

- The chain rules gives:

$$
\frac{d\left[u\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)\right]}{d t}=0=u_{x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right) \dot{x}_{i}(t)+u_{t}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)
$$

$$
\text { thus } \quad \dot{x}_{i}(t)=\frac{-1}{u_{x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)} u_{t}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{-1}{u_{x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)}\left(\nu u_{x x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)+f\left(u\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)\right)\right)
$$

- Use formally $2^{\text {nd }}$ order approximations to the various parts of the above: first derivative:

$$
\frac{-1}{u_{x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)} \approx-\frac{x_{i+1}(t)-x_{i-1}(t)}{u_{i+1}-u_{i-1}}=-\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right)
$$

## A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

second derivative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{x x}\left(x_{i}(t), t\right) & \approx \frac{\frac{u_{i+1}-u_{i}}{x_{i+1}(t)-x_{i}(t)}-\frac{u_{i}-u_{i-1}}{x_{i}(t)-x_{i-1}(t)}}{\left(\frac{x_{i+1}(t)+x_{i}(t)}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{x_{i}(t)+x_{i-1}(t)}{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2}{N\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}-\frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}\right] \\
& =\left[-\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}-\frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

nonlinearity:

$$
f\left(u\left(x_{i}(t), t\right)\right)=f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)
$$

## A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

- This leads to the following ODEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{i}= & \nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}-\frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}\right] \\
& -\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Notice that the PDE diffusion term is nonlinear in the ODE and the PDE nonlinearity is linear in the ODE
- Now consider how to translate PDE boundary conditions for this system of ODEs


## Boundary Conditions

- Pure initial value problem: $x_{0}(t)=-\infty, x_{N}(t)=+\infty$, $\dot{x}_{0}(t)=\dot{x}_{N}(t)=0:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1}= & -\nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right] \\
& -N\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) f\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\
\dot{x}_{N-1}= & \nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{N-1}-x_{N-2}}\right] \\
& -N\left(x_{N-1}-x_{N-2}\right) f\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary Conditions (cont.)

- Dirichlet boundary conditions, $u(0, t)=U_{0}(t)$ : use particle creation when $U_{0}(t)$ is decreasing and diminishes by $N^{-1}$ and particle destruction otherwise
- Neumann boundary conditions, $u_{x}(0, t)=U_{0}(t)$ : enforced by $x_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{N U_{0}(t)}$ with $x_{0}(t)=0$


## Time Discretization

- Forward Euler:

$$
\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}-\mathbf{x}_{n}}{\Delta t}=F\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{n+1}=\mathbf{x}_{n}+\Delta t F\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)
$$

is stable if $\frac{\Delta t}{\min _{i}\left[\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\right]} \leq \frac{1}{2 \nu}$

- Backward Euler:

$$
\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}-\mathbf{x}_{n}}{\Delta t}=F\left(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{n+1}-F\left(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{n}
$$

leads to a nonlinear system:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{i}^{n+1}-\Delta t \nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{i}^{n+1}-x_{i-1}^{n+1}}-\frac{1}{x_{i+1}^{n+1}-x_{i}^{n+1}}\right]+ \\
\Delta t \frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}^{n+1}-x_{i-1}^{n+1}\right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)=x_{i}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

## Analysis of the Backward Euler Equations

- Define: $K_{i}^{n+1}=\frac{\nu}{\left(x_{i}^{n+1}-x_{i-1}^{n+1}\right)\left(x_{i+1}^{n+1}-x_{i}^{n+1}\right)}$ so that the backward Euler equations become:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
l_{i}^{n+1} x_{i-1}^{n+1}+d_{i}^{n+1} x_{i}^{n+1}+u_{i}^{n+1} x_{i+1}^{n+1}=x_{i}^{n} \\
l_{i}^{n+1}=-\Delta t\left[K_{i}^{n+1}+\frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\right] \\
d_{i}^{n+1}=1+2 \Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \\
u_{i}^{n+1}=-\Delta t\left[K_{i}^{n+1}-\frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\right]
\end{array}
$$

- These equations are (nonlinear) tridiagonal but not diagonally dominant; however a rearrangement produces a diagonally dominant Picard (fixed-point) iteration that is provably convergent


## Picard Iteration

- The rearrangement is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}^{n+1} x_{i-1}^{n+1}+D_{i}^{n+1} x_{i}^{n+1}+U_{i}^{n+1} x_{i+1}^{n+1}= \\
& \quad x_{i}^{n}+\Delta t \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\left(x_{i+1}^{n+1}-x_{i-1}^{n+1}\right) \\
& L_{i}^{n+1}=-\Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \\
& D_{i}^{n+1}=1+2 \Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \\
& \quad U_{i}^{n+1}=-\Delta t K_{i}^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This system is tridiagonal and diagonally dominant and can be used to define the following Picard iteration (which is linearly convergent) to solve for the new positions at each time-step


## Picard Iteration (Cont.)

- The Picard iteration is thus:

1. Initialization: $\mathbf{x}^{\text {old }}=\mathbf{x}^{n}$
2. Iterate until converged:
2.1 Solve the following for $\mathbf{x}^{\text {new }}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}^{\text {old }}=-\Delta t K_{i}^{\text {old }}, \\
& D_{i}^{\text {old }}=1+2 \Delta t K_{i}^{\text {old }}, \\
& U_{i}^{\text {old }}=-\Delta t K_{i}^{\text {old }}, \\
& L^{\text {old }} x_{i-1}^{\text {new }}+D^{\text {old }} x_{i}^{\text {new }}+U^{\text {old }} x_{i+1}^{\text {new }}= \\
& x_{i}^{n}+\Delta t \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\left(x_{i+1}^{\text {old }}-x_{i-1}^{\text {old }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2 Set $\mathbf{x}^{\text {old }}=\mathbf{x}^{\text {new }}$
3. Form the solution as $\mathbf{x}^{n+1}=\mathbf{x}^{\text {new }}$

## Newton Iteration

- Newton iteration should be quadratically convergent, and is based on the solving the nonlinear equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i}(\mathbf{x})= & x_{i}-\nu \Delta t\left[\frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}-\frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}\right] \\
& +\Delta t \frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)-x_{i}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Newton Iteration (cont.)

- This is solved as $\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\text {old }}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\text {new }}-\mathbf{x}_{\text {old }}\right)=-\mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\text {old }}\right)$ where the Jacobian is defined as:

$$
[\mathbf{J}]_{i j}= \begin{cases}\frac{-\nu \Delta t}{\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}+\frac{N \Delta t}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) & j=i+1 \\ 1+\left[\frac{\nu \Delta t}{\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\nu \Delta t}{\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}}\right] & j=i \\ \frac{-\nu \Delta t}{\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}}-\frac{N \Delta t}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) & j=i-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- $[\mathbf{J}]_{i j}$ is tridiagonal but not symmetric and not, in general, diagonally dominant unless $[\mathbf{J}]_{i i+1}<0$


## Newton Iteration (cont.)

- This is equivalent to $\frac{\nu \Delta t}{\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}>\frac{N \Delta t}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)$
- Define $f_{\max }=\max _{x \in[0,1]} f(x)$, and divide by $\Delta t N^{2}$ to give $\frac{\nu}{\left[N\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}}>\frac{1}{2 N} f_{\max }$
- Now $\frac{1}{\left[N\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}} \approx u_{x}\left(x_{i}(\cdot), \cdot\right)^{2}>H^{-1}>0$ by monotonicity
- Rewriting (heuristically) $N>\frac{H f_{\max }}{2 \nu}$, since the right-hand side is constant, choosing $N$ larger makes the inequality true and the system diagonally dominant


## Numerical Results

- Consider the concrete 1DRDE, Nagumo's equation (a model of nerve conduction):

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t} & =u_{x x}+u(1-u)(u-a), \quad t>0, \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), \quad 1 \geq a \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Good for numerical experimentation because:
- Exact solution $u(x, t)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-(x-\theta t) / \sqrt{2}}}$
- A traveling wave with wave speed $\theta=\sqrt{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)$


## Numerical Results (cont.)

- With $a=\frac{1}{2}$ we have $\theta=0$ and the solution is a stable standing wave ideal for studying convergence (in $N$ ) of the method
- Empirical comparison of Picard versus Newton iterations for the backward Euler equations:
- Usually fewer Picard iterations required
- Often Picard iterations increased and convergence ceased while Newton settled into a constant number of iterations


## Numerical Results (cont.)



Figure: Solution to Nagumo's equation with $a=0.75, \Delta t=0.1$ with $N=64$ particles, printed at $t=0.0,25.0,50.0,75.0$, and 100.0.

## Numerical Wave Speed Results

- Note that the particles lead the solution (slightly)
- The exact midpoint wave speed is

$$
\dot{x}_{\text {mid }}(t)=\frac{-1}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}(t), t\right)}\left[u_{x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}(t), t\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right)\right]
$$

- $u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}(t), t\right)=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}}$
- $u_{x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}(t), t\right)=0$
- $\dot{x}_{\text {mid }}(t)=-4 \sqrt{2}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right)\right]=\sqrt{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\theta$


## Numerical Wave Speed Results (cont.)

- The numerical wave speed of the midpoint is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{\text {mid }}= & \nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{\text {mid }}-x_{\text {mid }-1}}-\frac{1}{x_{\text {mid }+1}-x_{\text {mid }}}\right] \\
& -\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{\text {mid }+1}-x_{\text {mid }-1}\right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can prove: $\left(x_{\text {mid }+1}-x_{\text {mid }}\right)=\left(x_{\text {mid }}-x_{\text {mid }-1}\right)$
- We use: $\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{\text {mid }+1}-x_{\text {mid }-1}\right)=\frac{N}{2} 2 h \approx \frac{1}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)}$


## Numerical Wave Speed Results (cont.)

- Can prove:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\frac{2}{N}}{2 h} & =\frac{u\left(x_{\text {mid }}+h, t\right)-u\left(x_{\text {mid }}-h, t\right)}{2 h} \\
& =u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6} u_{x x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right) \rightarrow \\
\frac{N}{2} 2 h & =\frac{1}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6} u_{x x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)}\left[1-\frac{h^{2}}{6} \frac{u_{x x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)}+O\left(h^{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Wave Speed Results (cont.)

- $u_{x x x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}, t\right)=-\frac{1}{16 \sqrt{2}}$
- This gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{\text {mid }}(t) & =\frac{-f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{u_{x}\left(x_{\text {mid }}(t), t\right)}\left[1+\frac{h^{2}}{24}+O\left(h^{4}\right)\right] \\
& =\theta\left[1+\frac{h^{2}}{24}+O\left(h^{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now we also have a proof using $N$ instead of $h$


## Numerical Wave Speed Results (cont.)

| $N \downarrow a \rightarrow$ | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.375 | 0.5 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $-1.71 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $-1.33 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $-7.32 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 8 | $-1.04 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $-7.36 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-3.77 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 16 | $-4.70 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-2.68 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-1.18 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 32 | $-1.91 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $-8.78 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-3.23 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 64 | $-7.62 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-2.80 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-8.23 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 128 | $-3.06 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-9.00 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $-1.96 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 256 | $-1.25 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $-2.91 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $-4.35 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 512 | $-5.14 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $-9.58 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-8.72 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 1024 | $-2.13 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $-3.19 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-1.43 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 2048 | $-8.88 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-1.07 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-1.15 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 4096 | $-3.71 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-3.68 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $4.73 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $0.0 \mathrm{e}+00$ |

The error in the wave speed $(\theta)$ in the particle method solution to Nagumo's equation: errors for various values of $a$ and $N$ are presented

## Numerical Error Results



Figure: Mean square error of the particle method solution for various number of particles; the solution was computed with $a=\frac{1}{2}$ giving a wave speed of $\theta=0$

## Numerical Error Results (cont.)



Figure: Error as a function grid point; the solution was computed with $a=\frac{1}{2}$ giving a wavespeed of $\theta=0$

## Numerical Error Results (cont.)



Figure: Mean square error omitting the boundary points; the solution was computed with $a=\frac{1}{2}$ giving a wavespeed of $\theta=0$

## Getting Second Order Accuracy

- The problem is clearly at the end-points, so how can we fix them up?
- With $x(u, t)$, have singularities at $u=0$ and $u=1$
- Can consider approximating $x=a / u+b$ near the singularities, so that $x_{i}=a / u_{i}+b, i=1,2$
- Need to compute $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$ at $u_{1}$ to compute the correction, this is $2 N\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$
- Leads to the following "corrected" boundary terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1} & =-\nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right]-2 N\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) f\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\
\dot{x}_{N-1} & =\nu\left[\frac{1}{x_{N-1}-x_{N-2}}\right]-2 N\left(x_{N-1}-x_{N-2}\right) f\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- When using this, one obtains an empirical $N^{-1.92}$ convergence behavior!


## Conclusions

- Have a deterministic particle method for reaction diffusion equations
- Discretization of the solution
- Naturally adaptive
- Good for steep gradients
- Analyzed forward and backward Euler methods
- Forward Euler has usual stability requirement
- Backward Euler has Picard and Newton
- Have proof that particles cannot cross
- Have computed solutions to Nagumo's equation:
- Wave speed discrepancy understood
- Have computed $O\left(N^{-2}\right)$ convergence far from the endpoints


## Open Problems

- How do we improve the boundary conditions to uniformly get $O\left(N^{-2}\right)$ convergence? (Solved!)
- Better boundary conditions?
- More refinement near points at infinity?
- The infamous Sign Problem for nonmonotonic solutions
- Using positive and negative particles leads to cancelation
- Can make policies for Monte Carlo and deterministic
- Systems, branching geometry
- Higher spatial dimensions
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