A Deterministic Particle Method for One-Dimensional Reaction-Diffusion Equations

Prof. Dr. Michael Mascagni

Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik, ETH Zürich Rämistrasse 101, CH-8092 Zürich, **Switzerland** *AND* Department of Computer Science & School of Computational Science Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306 USA E-mail: mascagni@cs.fsu.edu or mascagni@math.ethz.ch URL: http://www.cs.fsu.edu/~mascagni Research supported by ARO, DOE/ASCI, NATO, and NSF

Outline of the Talk

1D Reaction-Diffusion Equations (1DRDEs)

Motivation for studying 1DRDEs Review of a Monte Carlo particle method for 1DRDE problems

The Deterministic Particle Method The ODEs

Time Discretization

Picard iteration Newton Iteration

Numerical Results

Wave speed Rates of convergence

Conclusions and Open Problems

1D Reaction-Diffusion Equations (1DRDEs)

Consider the reaction-diffusion equation:

$$u_t = \nu u_{xx} + f(u), \quad t > 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad \nu > 0$$

Have applications to:

- Combustion problems
- Excitable tissue models (nerve, heart, pancreas)
- Complex chemical reactions
- Various contexts for 1D problems
 - Pure initial value problem: mathematical
 - Initial boundary value problem: modeling

A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs

Consider the above 1DRDE:

$$u_t = \nu u_{xx} + f(u), \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x)$$

► Assume monotonic solution: u(x, t) < u(y, t) when x < y with u(-∞, t) = 0, u(+∞, t) = 1. Then the gradient, v = u_x, satisfies:

$$v_t = \nu v_{xx} + f'(u)v, \quad v(x,0) = u'_0(x)$$

A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs (cont.)

• With $v(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \delta(x - X_j(t))$, $X_j(t)$ position and m_j the 'mass' of particle *j*, we recover as:

$$u(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} v(x',t) dx'$$
$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j H(x - X_j(t))$$

► This is the basis of the 1D random gradient method (RGM) due to Sherman and Peskin with $m_j = \frac{1}{N}$ for j = 1, 2, ..., N

A Monte Carlo method for 1DRDEs (cont.)

- The 1D RGM Algorithm (for each time step):
- Gaussian Random Walk Step: X_j(t + Δt) = X_j(t) + σ_j where the σ_j are independent N(0, 2νΔt) random variables
- ► Evaluate $u_j = u(X_j(t + \Delta t)), j = 1, ..., N$ using the above step-function ansatz (equiv. to sorting on $X_j(t)$)
- ► Kill or Replicate Particles with probability $|f'(u_i)|\Delta t$:
 - 1. Kill particle if $f' \leq 0$
 - 2. Rep. particle at X_j if f' > 0
- The Ghoniem/Sherman algorithm is similar except that Monte Carlo creation/destruction is replaced by deterministic mass evolution dm_j/dt = f'(u_j)

A Deterministic Particle Method for monotonically increasing solutions

- Mark Kac: "use Monte Carlo until you understand the problem"
- Use the function representation for a monotonic solution to the 1DRDE with particle positions defined implicitly by:

$$u(x_i(t), t) = \begin{cases} x_0(t) = -\infty, \\ \frac{i}{N}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, N-2, N-1 \\ x_N(t) = +\infty \end{cases}$$

A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

► The chain rules gives: $\frac{d[u(x_i(t), t)]}{dt} = 0 = u_x(x_i(t), t)\dot{x}_i(t) + u_t(x_i(t), t)$ thus $\dot{x}_i(t) = \frac{-1}{u_x(x_i(t), t)}u_t(x_i(t), t)$ $= \frac{-1}{u_x(x_i(t), t)}\left(\nu u_{xx}(x_i(t), t) + f(u(x_i(t), t))\right)$

Use formally 2nd order approximations to the various parts of the above: first derivative:

$$\frac{-1}{u_x(x_i(t),t)} \approx -\frac{x_{i+1}(t) - x_{i-1}(t)}{u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}} = -\frac{N}{2} \left(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1} \right)$$

A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

second derivative:

$$\begin{split} u_{xx}(x_i(t),t) &\approx \frac{\frac{u_{i+1}-u_i}{x_{i+1}(t)-x_i(t)} - \frac{u_i-u_{i-1}}{x_i(t)-x_{i-1}(t)}}{\left(\frac{x_{i+1}(t)+x_i(t)}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{x_i(t)+x_{i-1}(t)}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{2}{N(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1})} \left[\frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_i} - \frac{1}{x_i-x_{i-1}}\right] \\ &= \left[-\frac{N}{2}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{x_i-x_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{x_{i+1}-x_i}\right] \end{split}$$

nonlinearity:

$$f(u(x_i(t),t))=f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)$$

A Deterministic Particle Method (cont.)

This leads to the following ODEs:

$$\dot{x}_{i} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}} \right]$$
$$- \frac{N}{2} \left(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1} \right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)$$

- Notice that the PDE diffusion term is nonlinear in the ODE and the PDE nonlinearity is linear in the ODE
- Now consider how to translate PDE boundary conditions for this system of ODEs

Boundary Conditions

• Pure initial value problem: $x_0(t) = -\infty$, $x_N(t) = +\infty$, $\dot{x}_0(t) = \dot{x}_N(t) = 0$:

$$\dot{x}_1 = -\nu \left[\frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} \right]$$
$$- N \left(x_2 - x_1 \right) f \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)$$
$$\dot{x}_{N-1} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{N-1} - x_{N-2}} \right]$$
$$- N \left(x_{N-1} - x_{N-2} \right) f \left(\frac{N-1}{N} \right)$$

Boundary Conditions (cont.)

- Dirichlet boundary conditions, u(0, t) = U₀(t): use particle creation when U₀(t) is decreasing and diminishes by N⁻¹ and particle destruction otherwise
- ▶ Neumann boundary conditions, $u_x(0, t) = U_0(t)$: enforced by $x_1(t) = \frac{1}{NU_0(t)}$ with $x_0(t) = 0$

Time Discretization

Forward Euler:

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n}{\Delta t} = F(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n + \Delta t F(\mathbf{x}_n)$$

is stable if $\frac{\Delta t}{\min_i[(x_{i+1}-x_i)(x_i-x_{i-1})]} \leq \frac{1}{2\nu}$

Backward Euler:

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n}{\Delta t} = F(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \to \mathbf{x}_{n+1} - F(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) = \mathbf{x}_n$$

leads to a nonlinear system:

$$x_{i}^{n+1} - \Delta t \nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{i}^{n+1} - x_{i-1}^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{x_{i+1}^{n+1} - x_{i}^{n+1}} \right] + \Delta t \frac{N}{2} \left(x_{i+1}^{n+1} - x_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) f\left(\frac{i}{N} \right) = x_{i}^{n}$$

Analysis of the Backward Euler Equations

 I_i^r

• Define: $K_i^{n+1} = \frac{\nu}{(x_i^{n+1} - x_{i-1}^{n+1})(x_{i+1}^{n+1} - x_i^{n+1})}$ so that the backward Euler equations become:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{n+1} x_{i-1}^{n+1} + d_i^{n+1} x_i^{n+1} + u_i^{n+1} x_{i+1}^{n+1} &= x_i^n \\ l_i^{n+1} &= -\Delta t \left[K_i^{n+1} + \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right] \\ d_i^{n+1} &= 1 + 2\Delta t K_i^{n+1} \\ u_i^{n+1} &= -\Delta t \left[K_i^{n+1} - \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

These equations are (nonlinear) tridiagonal but not diagonally dominant; however a rearrangement produces a diagonally dominant Picard (fixed-point) iteration that is provably convergent

Picard Iteration

The rearrangement is:

1

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{i}^{n+1} x_{i-1}^{n+1} + D_{i}^{n+1} x_{i}^{n+1} + U_{i}^{n+1} x_{i+1}^{n+1} = \\ & x_{i}^{n} + \Delta t \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \left(x_{i+1}^{n+1} - x_{i-1}^{n+1}\right) \\ & L_{i}^{n+1} = -\Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \\ & D_{i}^{n+1} = 1 + 2\Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \\ & U_{i}^{n+1} = -\Delta t K_{i}^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

This system is tridiagonal and diagonally dominant and can be used to define the following Picard iteration (which is linearly convergent) to solve for the new positions at each time-step

Picard Iteration (Cont.)

The Picard iteration is thus:

- 1. Initialization: $\mathbf{x}^{old} = \mathbf{x}^n$
- 2. Iterate until converged:

2.1 Solve the following for \mathbf{x}^{new} :

$$L_i^{old} = -\Delta t \mathcal{K}_i^{old},$$

$$D_i^{old} = 1 + 2\Delta t \mathcal{K}_i^{old},$$

$$U_i^{old} = -\Delta t \mathcal{K}_i^{old},$$

$$L^{old} x_{i-1}^{new} + D^{old} x_i^{new} + U^{old} x_{i+1}^{new} =$$

$$x_i^n + \Delta t \frac{N}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \left(x_{i+1}^{old} - x_{i-1}^{old}\right)$$

2.2 Set $\mathbf{x}^{old} = \mathbf{x}^{new}$

3. Form the solution as $\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \mathbf{x}^{new}$

Newton Iteration

Newton iteration should be quadratically convergent, and is based on the solving the nonlinear equation:

$$G_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{i} - \nu \Delta t \left[\frac{1}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}} \right]$$
$$+ \Delta t \frac{N}{2} \left(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1} \right) f \left(\frac{i}{N} \right) - x_{i}^{n}$$

Newton Iteration (cont.)

This is solved as J(x_{old})(x_{new} - x_{old}) = -G(x_{old}) where the Jacobian is defined as:

$$[\mathbf{J}]_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{-\nu\Delta t}{(x_{i+1}-x_i)^2} + \frac{N\Delta t}{2}f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) & j = i+1\\ 1 + \left[\frac{\nu\Delta t}{(x_{i+1}-x_i)^2} + \frac{\nu\Delta t}{(x_i-x_{i-1})^2}\right] & j = i\\ \frac{-\nu\Delta t}{(x_i-x_{i-1})^2} - \frac{N\Delta t}{2}f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) & j = i-1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 [J]_{ij} is tridiagonal but not symmetric and not, in general, diagonally dominant unless [J]_{ii+1} < 0

Newton Iteration (cont.)

- ► This is equivalent to $\frac{\nu \Delta t}{(x_{i+1}-x_i)^2} > \frac{N\Delta t}{2} f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)$
- ► Define $f_{max} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$, and divide by $\Delta t N^2$ to give $\frac{\nu}{[N(x_{i+1}-x_i)]^2} > \frac{1}{2N} f_{max}$
- ► Now $\frac{1}{[N(x_{i+1}-x_i)]^2} \approx u_x(x_i(\cdot), \cdot)^2 > H^{-1} > 0$ by monotonicity
- Rewriting (heuristically) N > Hfmax / 2v, since the right-hand side is constant, choosing N larger makes the inequality true and the system diagonally dominant

Numerical Results

Consider the concrete 1DRDE, Nagumo's equation (a model of nerve conduction):

$$u_t = u_{xx} + u(1-u)(u-a), \quad t > 0, \ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 1 \ge a \ge 0$$

- Good for numerical experimentation because:
 - Exact solution $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(x-\theta t)/\sqrt{2}}}$
 - A traveling wave with wave speed $\theta = \sqrt{2} \left(a \frac{1}{2} \right)$

Numerical Results (cont.)

- With a = ¹/₂ we have θ = 0 and the solution is a stable standing wave ideal for studying convergence (in *N*) of the method
- Empirical comparison of Picard versus Newton iterations for the backward Euler equations:
 - Usually fewer Picard iterations required
 - Often Picard iterations increased and convergence ceased while Newton settled into a constant number of iterations

Numerical Results (cont.)



Figure: Solution to Nagumo's equation with a = 0.75, $\Delta t = 0.1$ with N = 64 particles, printed at t = 0.0, 25.0, 50.0, 75.0, and 100.0.

Numerical Wave Speed Results

- Note that the particles lead the solution (slightly)
- The exact midpoint wave speed is

$$\dot{x}_{mid}(t) = rac{-1}{u_x(x_{mid}(t),t)} \left[u_{xx}(x_{mid}(t),t) + rac{1}{2} \left(1 - rac{1}{2}
ight) \left(rac{1}{2} - a
ight)
ight]$$

$$u_x(x_{mid}(t),t) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}$$

•
$$u_{xx}(x_{mid}(t), t) = 0$$

• $\dot{x}_{mid}(t) = -4\sqrt{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} - a \right) \right] = \sqrt{2} \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \theta$

The numerical wave speed of the midpoint is

$$\dot{x}_{mid} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{mid} - x_{mid-1}} - \frac{1}{x_{mid+1} - x_{mid}} \right]$$
$$- \frac{N}{2} \left(x_{mid+1} - x_{mid-1} \right) f\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)$$

▶ Can prove: (x_{mid+1} - x_{mid}) = (x_{mid} - x_{mid-1})
 ▶ We use: N/2(x_{mid+1} - x_{mid-1}) = N/22h ≈ 1/(u_x(x_{mid},t))

Can prove:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\frac{2}{N}}{2h} &= \frac{u(x_{mid}+h,t) - u(x_{mid}-h,t)}{2h} \\ &= u_x(x_{mid},t) + \frac{h^2}{6}u_{xxx}(x_{mid},t) + O(h^4) \to \\ \frac{N}{2}2h &= \frac{1}{u_x(x_{mid},t) + \frac{h^2}{6}u_{xxx}(x_{mid},t) + O(h^4)} \\ &= \frac{1}{u_x(x_{mid},t)} \left[1 - \frac{h^2}{6}\frac{u_{xxx}(x_{mid},t)}{u_x(x_{mid},t)} + O(h^4)\right] \end{split}$$

•
$$u_{xxx}(x_{mid}, t) = -\frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}}$$

This gives:

$$\dot{x}_{mid}(t) = rac{-f\left(rac{1}{2}
ight)}{u_x(x_{mid}(t),t)} igg[1 + rac{h^2}{24} + O(h^4) igg] \ = heta igg[1 + rac{h^2}{24} + O(h^4) igg]$$

Now we also have a proof using N instead of h

$N \downarrow a \rightarrow$	0.125	0.25	0.375	0.5
4	-1.71e-01	-1.33e-01	-7.32e-02	$0.0e{+}00$
8	-1.04e-01	-7.36e-02	-3.77e-02	0.0e+00
16	-4.70e-02	-2.68e-02	-1.18e-02	0.0e+00
32	-1.91e-02	-8.78e-03	-3.23e-03	$0.0e{+}00$
64	-7.62e-03	-2.80e-03	-8.23e-04	0.0e+00
128	-3.06e-03	-9.00e-04	-1.96e-04	0.0e+00
256	-1.25e-03	-2.91e-04	-4.35e-05	$0.0e{+}00$
512	-5.14e-04	-9.58e-05	-8.72e-06	$0.0e{+}00$
1024	-2.13e-04	-3.19e-05	-1.43e-06	0.0e+00
2048	-8.88e-05	-1.07e-05	-1.15e-07	0.0e+00
4096	-3.71e-05	-3.68e-06	4.73e-08	0.0e+00

The error in the wave speed (θ) in the particle method solution to Nagumo's equation: errors for various values of a and N are presented

Numerical Error Results



Figure: Mean square error of the particle method solution for various number of particles; the solution was computed with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ giving a wave speed of $\theta = 0$

Numerical Error Results (cont.)



Figure: Error as a function grid point; the solution was computed with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ giving a wavespeed of $\theta = 0$

Numerical Error Results (cont.)



Figure: Mean square error omitting the boundary points; the solution was computed with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ giving a wavespeed of $\theta = 0$

Getting Second Order Accuracy

- The problem is clearly at the end-points, so how can we fix them up?
 - With x(u, t), have singularities at u = 0 and u = 1
 - ► Can consider approximating x = a/u + b near the singularities, so that x_i = a/u_i + b, i = 1,2
 - ► Need to compute $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$ at u_1 to compute the correction, this is $2N(x_2 x_1)$
 - Leads to the following "corrected" boundary terms:

$$\dot{x}_{1} = -\nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{2} - x_{1}} \right] - 2N(x_{2} - x_{1}) f\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$
$$\dot{x}_{N-1} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{x_{N-1} - x_{N-2}} \right] - 2N(x_{N-1} - x_{N-2}) f\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)$$

When using this, one obtains an empirical N^{-1.92} convergence behavior!

Conclusions

- Have a deterministic particle method for reaction diffusion equations
 - Discretization of the solution
 - Naturally adaptive
 - Good for steep gradients
- Analyzed forward and backward Euler methods
 - Forward Euler has usual stability requirement
 - Backward Euler has Picard and Newton
- Have proof that particles cannot cross
- Have computed solutions to Nagumo's equation:
 - Wave speed discrepancy understood
 - ► Have computed *O*(*N*⁻²) convergence far from the endpoints

Open Problems

- How do we improve the boundary conditions to uniformly get O(N⁻²) convergence? (Solved!)
 - Better boundary conditions?
 - More refinement near points at infinity?
- The infamous Sign Problem for nonmonotonic solutions
 - Using positive and negative particles leads to cancelation
 - Can make policies for Monte Carlo and deterministic
- Systems, branching geometry
- Higher spatial dimensions

References I



A. F. Ghoniem and F. Sherman (1985) Grid-free simulation of diffusion using random walk methods

Journal of Computational Physics, 61: 1–37.

A. L. Hodgkin and A. F. Huxley (1952)

A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction in the giant axon of *L*oligo

Journal of Physiology, 117: 500-544.



M. Mascagni (1990)

The backward Euler method for the numerical solution of the Hodgkin-Huxley equations of nerve conduction

SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 27: 941–962.



M. Mascagni (1995)

A deterministic particle method for one-dimensional reaction-diffusion equations Research Institute for Advanced Computer Science (RIACS) Technical Report: 95.23, Institute for Defense Analyses/Center for Computing Sciences (IDA/CCS) Technical Report: CCS-TR-95-144.

J. Nagumo, S. Arimoto and S. Yoshizawa (1962) An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon *Proceedings of the Institute of Radio Engineers*, **50**: 2061–2070.

References II



G. Russo (1990)

Deterministic diffusion of particles

Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 63: 697–733.

A. S. Sherman and C. S. Peskin (1986) A Monte-Carlo method for scalar reaction diffusion equations

SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, 7: 1360–1372.

A. S. Sherman and M. Mascagni (1994) A gradient random walk method for two-dimensional reaction-diffusion equations *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, **15**: 1280–1293.